

20000601.qrp v01_n839.qrl.20000601

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 19:03:11 EDT

From: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: QRP-L digest 1839

QRP-L Digest 1839

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) [71545] Re: License upgrades & C.C. Plummer
by david fouchey <dafouchey@home.com>
- 2) [71546] HELLO ALASKA... W/R ant
by hamjoel@juno.com
- 3) [71547] Re: The Bottom End of 10 Meters
by Rick McKee <kc8aon@juno.com>
- 4) [71548] Re: License Upgrades and EatingCrow
by Henry Freedenberg <henryf@quartz.gly.fsu.edu>
- 5) [71549] IC706/FT100/DX70TH current?
by BruceAA5B@aol.com
- 6) [71550] Re: slow speed CW
by "Richard C. Arzadon" <n8xi@juno.com>
- 7) [71551] Seattle area QRP'ers?
by "John Burnley" <burnleyia@home.com>
- 8) [71552] SG 2020
by paul taylor <ptay1@miro.bestweb.net>
- 9) [71553] Re: slow speed CW
by Phil Wheeler <w7ox@earthlink.net>
- 10) [71554] Re: magnet mobile mount
by Stuart Rohre <rohre@arlut.utexas.edu>
- 11) [71555] Re: IC706/FT100/DX70TH current?--ic706MKIIG
by W1R0@aol.com
- 12) [71556] Re: Silent Key
by tedkell@juno.com
- 13) [71557] RE: Analog Meters
by Nick Kennedy <nkennedy@tcainternet.com>
- 14) [71558] RE: License upgrades & C.C. Plummer
by Nick Kennedy <nkennedy@tcainternet.com>
- 15) [71559] RE: IC706/FT100/DX70TH current?
by Radman <radman@best.com>
- 16) [71560] Re: THRU hole Parts (Diminishing Sources)
by "merryprankster" <merryprankster@cwcom.net>
- 17) [71561] Re: QRP Social Capital on NPR
by Wb8siw@aol.com
- 18) [71562] Re: Silent Key G3YCC
by "Dieter \ (Diz\) Gentzow W8DIZ" <w8diz@cinci.rr.com>
- 19) [71563] RE: slow speed CW

- by Nick Kennedy <nkennedy@tcainternet.com>
- 20) [71564] Re: SG 2020
by tailfeathers@juno.com
- 21) [71565] Re: QRP Social Capital on NPR
by Phil Wheeler <w7ox@earthlink.net>
- 22) [71566] June 3, 2000 TAC Contest
by "Ron Polityka" <wb3aal@talon.net>
- 23) [71567] Re: slow speed code
by NA1XX@aol.com
- 24) [71568]
by Quinn Farnes <quinn_farnes@yahoo.com>
- 25) [71569] incentive licencing...
by hamjoel@juno.com
- 26) [71570] Re: License upgrades
by af852@rgfn.epcc.edu (William R Colbert)
- 27) [71571] FS: IC-735
by "Mark M." <markem@primenet.com>
- 28) [71572] FS: SWL WM-20 Kit & Encl.
by "Mark M." <markem@primenet.com>
- 29) [71573] Re: License upgrades & C.C. Plummer
by "k3peg" <k3peg@yahoo.com>
- 30) [71574] Re: IC706/FT100/DX70TH current?
by "Paul R. Valko" <prvalko@oakland.edu>
- 31) [71575] Re: License upgrades & C.C. Plummer
by "Dan W. Dooley" <dandooley@pipeline.com>
- 32) [71576] Re: Was slow speed CW: Now CW Operating
by "Dan W. Dooley" <dandooley@pipeline.com>
- 33) [71577] Re: License upgrades
by "George T. Baker" <w5yr@att.net>
- 34) [71578] Re: License upgrades & C.C. Plummer
by Phil Wheeler <w7ox@earthlink.net>
- 35) [71579] test...delete unless you like this sort of thing
by AdamN7YA@aol.com
- 36) [71580] Re: License upgrades
by AdamN7YA@aol.com
- 37) [71581] Tnx For Info Bottom of 10 Meters
by flyer@hooked.net
- 38) [71582] Re: QRP Social Capital on NPR
by "Nick Yokanovich" <k3ny@toad.net>
- 39) [71583] Re: Analog Meters
by "John J. McDonough" <wb8rcr@arrl.net>
- 40) [71584] re: cw
by Fran Flynn <fflynn@together.net>
- 41) [71585] Re: Silent Key
by "n6wg:First n6wg:Last" <n6wg@earthlink.net>
- 42) [71586] Re: slow speed code
by Roger Hightower <n7kt@worldnet.att.net>
- 43) [71587] need call signs for cw contacts in PA NY OH 6-3-2000

by MARTIN J STRATTON <kc2cwn@juno.com>
44) [71588] Re: slow speed code
by Phil Wheeler <w7ox@earthlink.net>
45) [71589] Re: cw
by "Dan W. Dooley" <dandooley@pipeline.com>
46) [71590] RE: slow speed code
by "Kevin Muenzler WB5RUE" <wb5rue@stic.net>
47) [71591] Re: License upgrades
by "Dan W. Dooley" <dandooley@pipeline.com>
48) [71592] Re: slow speed CW
by Pete Burbank <plburbank@kih.net>
49) [71593] Re: Silent Key
by sigcom@juno.com
50) [71594] Re: cw
by Monte Stark <ku7y@dri.edu>
51) [71595] Re: slow speed CW
by Monte Stark <ku7y@dri.edu>
52) [71596] Re: cw
by "John Moriarity" <k6qq@hdo.net>
53) [71597] [PROP] Solar Summary May 2000
by "Paul Harden, NA5N" <na5n@rt66.com>
54) [71598] Re: slow speed CW
by "John Moriarity" <k6qq@hdo.net>
55) [71599] Re: Analog Meters
by mjfitz@uswest.net
56) [71600] Re: IC706/FT100/DX70TH current?
by Bob Patten <n4bp@bc.seflin.org>
57) [71601] Re: License upgrades
by Monte Stark <ku7y@dri.edu>
58) [71602] Article on QRZ.com
by "J. Ervin Bates" <w8erv@email.msn.com>
59) [71603] K is dangerous in contests
by "Walt Amos" <k8cv@worldspy.net>
60) [71604] Re: IC706/FT100/DX70TH current?
by David M Kopacki <kf2ew@juno.com>
61) [71605] RE: Analog Meters
by Karl Kanalz <KKanalz@excel.com>
62) [71606] Re: SG 2020
by "Richard E. Robinson" <rerobins@email.uncc.edu>
63) [71607] Re: License upgrades
by George F Franklin <w0av@juno.com>
64) [71608] Re: License upgrades
by Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
65) [71609] Thanks for cw advice
by "John Nall" <nally@talstar.com>
66) [71610] Re: License upgrades
by "Gary Lee Phillips" <ka9nzi@arrl.net>
67) [71611] C.C. Plummer?

by "AI2Q Alex" <ai2q@ispchannel.com>
68) [71612] Re: cw
by KENNETH ROBERT MCGUIRE <at902@tcnet.org>
69) [71613] Re: cw
by Phil Wheeler <w7ox@earthlink.net>
70) [71614] Re: K is dangerous in contests
by Monte Stark <ku7y@dri.edu>
71) [71615] Re: License upgrades
by John Moore <jwm@hal-pc.org>
72) [71616] Re: The Bottom End of 10 Meters
by "Richard E. Robinson" <rerobins@email.uncc.edu>
73) [71617] QRQ Net Practice Sentences.
by Ed Loranger <we6w@qsl.net>
74) [71618] need recommendation for Gen Cov Handheld Recvr
by Michael Bower <bowerm@ix.netcom.com>
75) [71619] OT: Vanity Calls
by Eric Moore <emoore@windemullerelectric.com>
76) [71620] Re: K is dangerous in contests
by "Adams, Mark" <madams@facilities.buffalo.edu>
77) [71621] RE: Thanks for cw advice
by Paul Antoniewicz <pja@sofsol.com>
78) [71622] Re: need recommendation for Gen Cov Handheld Recvr
by Lew Paceley <lew@paceley.com>
79) [71623] Re: Vanity Calls
by "Victor Blackwell" <victor@brecnet.com>
80) [71624] RE: Vanity Calls
by "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@stic.net>
81) [71625] Part Source
by "Davies, Doug A FOR:EX" <Doug.Davies@gems3.gov.bc.ca>
82) [71626] Question
by Rick Weber <weber@accenttech.com>
83) [71627] Re: OT: Vanity Calls
by Phil Wheeler <w7ox@earthlink.net>
84) [71628] Re: need recommendation for Gen Cov Handheld Recvr
by "n6wg:First n6wg:Last" <n6wg@earthlink.net>
85) [71629] Re: OT: Vanity Calls
by "n6wg:First n6wg:Last" <n6wg@earthlink.net>
86) [71630] Another Part Bites the Dust...
by Bruce Kizerian <kizerian@ced.utah.edu>
87) [71631] Re: incentive licencing...
by Mike <mmorrow@companet.net>
88) [71632] Re: Another Part Bites the Dust...
by "Brian" <brian@iquest.net>
89) [71633] "Life is too short for QRP"
by GElam30092@aol.com
90) [71634] Re: K is dangerous in contests
by zmola@campbellsci.com
91) [71635] Re: License upgrades

by "George T. Baker" <w5yr@att.net>

92) [71636] Re: need recommendation for Gen Cov Handheld Recvr
by "George T. Baker" <w5yr@att.net>

93) [71637] Re: K is dangerous in contests
by David Hinerman <dlh1009@ritvax.isc.rit.edu>

94) [71638] Alpha-Delta DX-B ??
by "Kreinberg, David" <dkreinberg@necam.com>

95) [71639] Re: License upgrades
by Ray Colbert <af852@rgfn.epcc.edu>

96) [71640] Re: Alpha-Delta DX-B ??
by "Randy Randall" <RANDALLR@Healthall.com>

97) [71641] Re: Alpha-Delta DX-B ??
by "Randy Randall" <RANDALLR@Healthall.com>

98) [71642] RE: Vanity Calls
by Michael Ostrowski <mostrowski@CreativeSolutions.com>

99) [71643] HB: New Deluxe keyer with LCD
by "Steven Weber" <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>

100) [71644] Yaesu 209RH manual available (copy)
by Michael Neverdosky <mneverdosky@earthlink.net>

101) [71645] T0: Mac Steinmeyer, AF4PS
by Bob Patten <n4bp@bc.seflin.org>

102) [71646] Re: Vanity Calls
by Gary Lee Phillips KA9NZI <ka9nzi@arrl.net>

103) [71647] Re: [Elecrafft] Hamcom 2000
by Stuart Rohre <rohre@arlut.utexas.edu>

104) [71648] Re: need recommendation for Gen Cov Handheld Recvr
by Michael Bower <bowerm@ix.netcom.com>

105) [71649] Re: Vanity Calls
by Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>

106) [71650] Frank Lee G3YCC
by "George Dobbs" <g3rjv@gqrp.demon.co.uk>

107) [71651] Re: Vanity Calls
by "Victor Blackwell" <victor@brecnet.com>

108) [71652] Re: Vanity Calls
by Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>

109) [71653] Re: THRU hole Parts (Diminishing Sources)
by hattonte@gdls.com

110) [71654] Pixie2 on 10m ???
by "Franco, Nicholas J" <franco@bnl.gov>

111) [71655] RE: Pixie2 on 10m ???
by "Davies, Doug A FOR:EX" <Doug.Davies@gems3.gov.bc.ca>

112) [71656] QRPTTF Deadline Today!
by "J. Medley" <jmedley@ix.netcom.com>

113) [71657] QRPTTF Deadline Today!
by "J. Medley" <jmedley@ix.netcom.com>

114) [71658] Oh My Goodness!
by "J. Medley" <jmedley@ix.netcom.com>

115) [71659] Re: K is dangerous in contests

by "John Moriarity" <k6qq@hdo.net>

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 19:12:21 -0400
From: david fouchey <dafouchey@home.com>
To: rerobins@email.uncc.edu
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71545] Re: License upgrades & C.C. Plummer
Message-ID: <39359C55.D760433C@home.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

that was the most terrifying exam session EVER....the bonus was I went ahead and took the Advanced written exam at the same time and miracle of miracles passed it first try! Went from a Novice to Advanced in one fell swoop...still haven't had the time to go for the Extra...and that was in 1969....I think...

Dave WA4EMR/8 formerly WN8AXG/WB8AXG lo those many years ago.

"Richard E. Robinson" wrote:

>
> How many folks remember having to send 13 WPM to an FCC examiner to upgrade
> to general? That was a very frightening experience to me when I was 15
> much worse than the written or receiving tests. I can still remember my
> palms sweating, being very nervous, and having the examiner tell me very
> calmly to relax. Somehow I passed, which was terrific since my dad had to
> drive 2 hours to get me there and I sure didn't want to disappoint him.
>
> You couldn't just go home and operate with your new privileges either. You
> had to wait on the oh so slow FCC to mail you that new license. My novice
> license expired before the general license arrived so I was off the air for
> awhile even though I had passed the tests. How things have changed.
>
> You're an old timer if the name C.C. Plummer rings a bell.
>
> 72,
>
> Rick kf4ar

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 19:13:29 -0400
From: hamjoel@juno.com
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71546] HELLO ALASKA... W/R ant

Message-ID: <20000531.191435.-182501.0.hamjoel@juno.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello Y'all in alaska:

I done moved my ant farm so that I got it pointed right at alaska....it's up about thirty feet and consists of the following wire ant....

1... 40 mtr dipole

1... three element yagi for 30 mtrs....

1 ... funny twenty meter ant....sorta like two three element yagis, side by side

Yep all in one package... my neighbors and people driving by watched in wonder as I tugged and pulled at the wire and rope and wooden dowels....

I finally got the heavy wooden dowel set up like I want it and got the ant wires sorta tight...

According to my handy dandy radio amateurs world atlas.... I am going right through the middle of canada and alaska.... also alaska is at the 3k mile marker....from strong maine...

I'm seeing 330 degrees on my super duper compass.... so the opposite should get u back to me....

any body kneaux when japan should start coming into the north east???? I really want to work a ja.... the ant is right on them....

got my k2, qrp++ and handy man w/r multi beam ready and all batteries charged...

Hello

Alaska..... Japan....

CW, PSK31, RTTY, SSB, SSTV.... i'M READY Y'ALL... WHERE U AT???

ke1la joel

in maine

looking for the other side of the world...

YOU'RE PAYING TOO MUCH FOR THE INTERNET!

Juno now offers FREE Internet Access!

Try it today - there's no risk! For your FREE software, visit:

<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/tagj>.

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 19:01:42 EDT

From: Rick McKee <kc8aon@juno.com>

To: aa5tb@yahoo.com, qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU

Subject: [71547] Re: The Bottom End of 10 Meters

Message-ID: <20000531.191724.4599.9.kc8aon@juno.com>

And most of those "export rigs" are marketed to CBer's by outfits like the one in Louisville, KY ! Maybe Mr. Hollingsworth needs to know about such goings on !

73...Rick McKee KC8AON { CW lives as long as I do ! }
Willow Wood,Ohio "oo's"
AR QRP # 269 QRP-L # 2112 ZOMBIE # 718 FPqrp # 33
TriState BrassPounders # 1

YOU'RE PAYING TOO MUCH FOR THE INTERNET!
Juno now offers FREE Internet Access!
Try it today - there's no risk! For your FREE software, visit:
<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/tagj>.

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 19:08:07 -0400
From: Henry Freedenberg <henryf@quartz.gly.fsu.edu>
To: nally@talstar.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71548] Re: License Upgrades and EatingCrow
Message-ID: <39359B55.116EC3DE@quartz.gly.fsu.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Ohmigod..another list member in town. Pretty soon we will have enouh people for our very own qrp club.

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 19:25:14 EDT
From: BruceAA5B@aol.com
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71549] IC706/FT100/DX70TH current?
Message-ID: <73.3bbdce9.2666f95a@aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I'm going to buy a radio for general HF/VHF mobiling and mountaintopping, but will try to make it something that's also useful for occasional QRPing from the car. The ARRL's review of the FT100 said that the radio draws 10 amps even when the output power is reduced to 20 watts! Do any of you know how much current the IC-706 draws at 5 or 10 watts output? (Yes, I know it's

gotta be WAY more than the K2... but surely it can't be 10 amps, can it?!)

How about the Alinco DX70TH, which is supposed to be a nice mobiling rig?

Thanks,
Bruce AA5B

BruceAA5B@aol.com

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 23:03:42 +0100
From: "Richard C. Arzadon" <n8xi@juno.com>
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [71550] Re: slow speed CW
Message-ID: <20000531.232411.-302439.0.n8xi@juno.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

QRP'ers

I've posted this before. It's my story and I'm sticking to it !

BK, to me means BREAK.... No more from me, It's been turned over to you, like right NOW.

Also used when a station that is transmitting with QSK. A simple 'BK' should get his/her attention.

BTU or Back to you, IMO would be more appropriate or a simple K would suffice.

I have only used the AR Prosign when handling Traffic, where AR N and AR B has some significance.

The ARRL Handbook (1998) Chapter 30, has some good info.

They also had a handout -- OP AID 9, mines from Feb 1972, that lists prosigns, abbreviations, Q-Signals and of course QN signals fer TFC Net use.

I believe the QN Sigs were initiated on the Michigan QMN Net (by W8DYH?) before any of us were born...

73, Rick - N8XI (ex-WA8RXI)
Taylor, MI

=====
> Then, instead of sending bk to u, ar, simply send bk. The "to u,
> ar" is
> unnecessary. The other ham will know that you are turning it "bk"
> to him or

> her, and that your transmission is ending. Also, as you know, you
> need
> identify only once each ten minutes, at the end of a transmission.
> So,
> sending your call after the "bk" is unnecessary unless that ten
> minute time
> limit is approaching.

YOU'RE PAYING TOO MUCH FOR THE INTERNET!

Juno now offers FREE Internet Access!

Try it today - there's no risk! For your FREE software, visit:

<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/tagj>.

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 18:25:16 -0500
From: "John Burnley" <burnleyia@home.com>
To: <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [71551] Seattle area QRP'ers?
Message-ID: <000f01bfcb57\$7ac53100\$1b790818@c149552-a.west1.ia.home.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Sorry for the bandwidth but I'll be in the area
June 19-21 (maybe a few days before then) and
looking to meet some fellow QRP'ers. If you
will be available please email me privately.

72, John NU0V

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 19:36:39 -0700
From: paul taylor <ptay1@miro.bestweb.net>
To: "qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71552] SG 2020
Message-ID: <3.0.32.20000531193637.00695918@pop.bestweb.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

My 2 cents:

I don't think this radio is a great rig. Its inexpensive and looks good but
...

Measured power is 15 watts at the 20 watt setting. usb is so so and hard

to tune. cw is not as good as I would think with 15w. and the filter is not that good. At least there is no annoying background noise like the qrp+. lsb is very hard to tune and lsb went out tonight and dealer referred service questions to the factory which had no tech, but promised a return call in 24 hours.

Its a problem rig. It may be a cult rig but it is also a problem
paul taylor wb2gin

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 16:40:03 -0700
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@earthlink.net>
To: n8xi@juno.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71553] Re: slow speed CW
Message-ID: <3935A2D3.6BB2D271@earthlink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

"Richard C. Arzadon" wrote:

>
> QRP'ers
> I've posted this before. It's my story and I'm sticking to it !
> BK, to me means BREAK.... No more from me, It's been turned over to you,
> like right NOW.
> Also used when a station that is transmitting with QSK. A simple 'BK'
> should get his/her attention.
>
> BTU or Back to you, IMO would be more appropriate or a simple K would
> suffice.
>

Isn't this about the same as worrying abt "es" vs "and", etc. Does anyone *really* care? Well, I guess someone does :-)

Phil

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 18:46:58 -0500
From: Stuart Rohre <rohr@arlut.utexas.edu>
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [71554] Re: magnet mobile mount
Message-ID: <3935A471.CF4717@arlut.utexas.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

A good way to keep this type of mount from scratching the finish is to keep a can in the car, like a car polish can, with a rag and some polish on it. (Make sure it is airtight in a metal can, to keep it from either drying out, or self igniting from oxidation of the oils.).

If you remove the mount always clean the paint with the waxed rag before remounting the magnets.

Another way of helping the finish, is to cut "coasters" of Saran Wrap (plastic film) to go under each magnet, thus no metal edge can scratch the paint.

72,
Stuart K5KVH
Austin TX

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 19:57:59 EDT
From: W1R0@aol.com
To: BruceAA5B@aol.com, qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71555] Re: IC706/FT100/DX70TH current?--ic706MKIIG
Message-ID: <63.6506823.26670107@aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

My IC706MKIIG draws about 4.2 amps at 10 watts and 2.8 amps at 5 watts as measured on the amp meter of the Astron RS-35M power supply. Full power 100 watts about 18 amps on CW. All measurements taken on 18 MHZ, SWR 1.1 to 1. Hope this helps

Jim--W1R0/7

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 18:55:28 -0500
From: tedkell@juno.com
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [71556] Re: Silent Key
Message-ID: <20000531.185754.-3851701.0.tedkell@juno.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Second that. I really enjoyed his web site. I wish I had met him. Does

anyone have a "site grebber" so that we can keep it open?

Ted
KC5CUW

On Wed, 31 May 2000 14:04:55 -0600 (MDT) "Paul Harden, NA5N"
<na5n@rt66.com> writes:

>
> On Wed, 31 May 2000, George Dobbs wrote:
> > It is with regret that I announce the death,
> > earlier today, of Frank Lee, G3YCC.
> >
> > Frank was the Sales Officer for the G QRP Club
> > and a keen QRP builder and operator.
> >
> > Many of you will know Frank from his extensive QRP website.
>
> Please express to Frank's family how many friends he truly had here
> in
> the states and around the world. I never met Frank, but had many
> email
> exchanges with him. His G3YCC website is legendary and was an
> expression
> of Frank himself ... a ham who always wanted to share and help
> others,
> the true Elmer. He will be sadly missed. It's always a shame to
> lose
> someone from this fraternity, especially a person that became a
> friend
> to so many, if only from a QSO or through the internet.
>
> 72, Paul NA5N
> Socorro, New Mexico
>
>

Ted Kell
KC5CUW

YOU'RE PAYING TOO MUCH FOR THE INTERNET!

Juno now offers FREE Internet Access!

Try it today - there's no risk! For your FREE software, visit:
<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/tagj>.

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 19:01:36 -0500

From: Nick Kennedy <nkennedy@tcainternet.com>
To: "'Doug.Davies@gems3.gov.bc.ca'" <Doug.Davies@gems3.gov.bc.ca>, Low Power
Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71557] RE: Analog Meters
Message-ID: <01BFCB32.A5F71520.nkennedy@tcainternet.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

BG Micro has a couple of nice 50 microamp meters at about \$4 or \$5 apiece.
They're kinda big. And calibrated for some other application, but look
good for homebrew work.

72--Nick, WA5BDU

-----Original Message-----

From: Davies, Doug A FOR:EX [SMTP:Doug.Davies@gems3.gov.bc.ca]
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2000 10:46 AM
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
Subject: Analog Meters

Does anyone know of a source for analog panel meters? I have a few
upcoming
projects that require them and the major supply houses only stock digital
ones.

Doug VA7DD

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 19:07:40 -0500
From: Nick Kennedy <nkennedy@tcainternet.com>
To: "'rerobins@email.uncc.edu'" <rerobins@email.uncc.edu>, Low Power Amateur Radio
Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71558] RE: License upgrades & C.C. Plummer
Message-ID: <01BFCB33.7EEB8C80.nkennedy@tcainternet.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

My recollection is that my novice license was signed by Ben F. Waples and C.B.
Plummer. B, not C.

72,

Nick, WA5BDU

You're an old timer if the name C.C. Plummer rings a bell.

72,

Rick kf4ar

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 17:14:09 -0700
From: Radman <radman@best.com>
To: "'BruceAA5B@aol.com'" <BruceAA5B@aol.com>, Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
<qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71559] RE: IC706/FT100/DX70TH current?
Message-ID: <01BFCB23.A65EFC80@radman.vip.best.com>

Hi Bruce & the gang,

I was pondering the 5W TX current requirement of an IC-706-MK-IIIG recently. The QRP TX current is not on ICOM's web site, so I called ICOM tech support. They told me it draws 3-4A @ 13.8VDC @ 5 watts key-down. This doesn't seem too bad for a rig that's really optimized for the other end of the QRO dial ;) RX current is 1.8A - 2.0A.

I can't help you with the other JA rigs in question, I would think they would be in the same ballpark as the 706 - but I've not measured them. Naturally, all of these JA rigs will draw considerably more current than a K2, as they're really designed to be powered from an automotive "Die-Hard" rather than a handful of AA-batts etc ;)

GL es 73,

Conrad - NN6CW

From: BruceAA5B@aol.com[SMTP:BruceAA5B@aol.com]
Subject: IC706/FT100/DX70TH current?

I'm going to buy a radio for general HF/VHF mobiling and mountaintopping, but will try to make it something that's also useful for occasional QRPing from the car. The ARRL's review of the FT100 said that the radio draws 10 amps even when the output power is reduced to 20 watts! Do any of you know how much current the IC-706 draws at 5 or 10 watts output? (Yes, I know it's gotta be WAY more than the K2... but surely it can't be 10 amps, can it?!) How about the Alinco DX70TH, which is supposed to be a nice mobiling rig?

Thanks,
Bruce AA5B

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 01:17:43 +0100
From: "merryprankster" <merryprankster@cwcom.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [71560] Re: THRU hole Parts (Diminishing Sources)
Message-ID: <000801bfcb5f\$4cd23740\$4e142cc3@y0y2a7>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello all,
I have been trying to solder some SMD gear of late but find that the soup just drips down the side of the D before the sponge can soak up the drips, also the carrot is a bit blunt, will it still work okay?
Another hitch I find is when I try a banana as a coil former it tends to turn brown and sqidgey in a day or so.
Is there an easy way to do this? Could I get it enamel plated and would the alter the inductance. Also I keep hurting my thumbs when banging the flints together so cant sharpen the carrot.

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 20:16:42 EDT
From: Wb8siw@aol.com
To: zeekzilch@juno.com, qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71561] Re: QRP Social Capital on NPR

Message-ID: <dd.50ed801.2667056a@aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

In a message dated 05/31/2000 6:08:11 PM Eastern Daylight Time,
zeekzilch@juno.com writes:

<< NPR is running a piece on America's "Social Capital" this afternoon. I was in the car and not able to take notes. However, their suggestion is that American participation in organizations of all types has been really low these past two to three decades while membership levels remain the same.

In 1970 the average American participated in one social event, with an organized group, each month. In 1999 it was down to 5 per year.

They attribute this mostly to television and the suburbs. Every ten minute increase in one's commute, apparently, reduces social participation by nearly 50 percent.

On the other hand, it has been demonstrated that increased interaction with social groups actually prolongs a person's life significantly.

WB0JNR >>

Hi Roger:

The Shriners recently commissioned a survey to investigate this very issue. If I recall correctly, the conclusion was that the average young man, between the ages of 25 and 45 has approximately 3 hours per month available to participate in a service organization, club, or similar activity.

It's also interesting to note that participation in Amateur Radio has historically been somewhat inversely proportional to the quality of the economy; showing growth during periods of depressed economic activity and decline during periods of prosperity!

While many have spent significant amounts of time looking for a single cause (such as CW) to blame for a decline in interest in Amateur Radio as well as an increasing median age amongst licensed amateurs, it is far more likely that a wide variety of socioeconomic factors have had a significant impact on ham radio.

73, Jim WB8SIW

..."For every complex social problem there's a simple solution that's wrong."

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 20:17:26 -0400
From: "Dieter \ (Diz\) Gentzow W8DIZ" <w8diz@cinci.rr.com>
To: <tedkell@juno.com>, "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [71562] Re: Silent Key G3YCC
Message-ID: <004c01bfcb5e\$c427f2e0\$1461a518@cinci.rr.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I don't know anything about site grabbers, BUT I did take custody of G3YCC.homepage.com. If somebody would like to capture the pages and move them to that web site, send me a private email.

73 & "oo's" - Dieter (DIZ) Gentzow - W8DIZ - Loveland, Ohio
Clermont County - EM79uf - near Cincinnati; 39.218N - 84.305W
FPqrp-1 SOC-8 DLQRPAG-1454 ARCI-10226 QRPL-1998 10X-9389 CATT-26 K2-493
<http://home.cinci.rr.com/w8diz> [AOL-IM "w8diz"]

----- Original Message -----

From: <tedkell@juno.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2000 7:55 PM
Subject: Re: Silent Key

> Second that. I really enjoyed his web site. I wish I had met him. Does
> anyone have a "site grebber" so that we can keep it open?

>

> Ted

> KC5CUW

>

> On Wed, 31 May 2000 14:04:55 -0600 (MDT) "Paul Harden, NA5N"

> <na5n@rt66.com> writes:

> >

> > On Wed, 31 May 2000, George Dobbs wrote:

> > > It is with regret that I announce the death,

> > > earlier today, of Frank Lee, G3YCC.

> > >

> > > Frank was the Sales Officer for the G QRP Club

> > > and a keen QRP builder and operator.

> > >

> > > Many of you will know Frank from his extensive QRP website.

> >

> > Please express to Frank's family how many friends he truly had here
> > in
> > the states and around the world. I never met Frank, but had many
> > email
> > exchanges with him. His G3YCC website is legendary and was an
> > expression
> > of Frank himself ... a ham who always wanted to share and help
> > others,
> > the true Elmer. He will be sadly missed. It's always a shame to
> > lose
> > someone from this fraternity, especially a person that became a
> > friend
> > to so many, if only from a QSO or through the internet.
> >
> > 72, Paul NA5N
> > Socorro, New Mexico
> >
> >
>
> Ted Kell
> KC5CUW
>
>
> -----
> YOU'RE PAYING TOO MUCH FOR THE INTERNET!
> Juno now offers FREE Internet Access!
> Try it today - there's no risk! For your FREE software, visit:
> <http://dl.www.juno.com/get/tagj>.

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 19:21:57 -0500
From: Nick Kennedy <nkennedy@tcainternet.com>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71563] RE: slow speed CW
Message-ID: <01BFCB35.7D2D76E0.nkennedy@tcainternet.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I'll weigh in with a contrary opinion. All of this business of eliminating words and abbreviating everything is good and fun, but I think you can carry it too far. CW, like speech, needs some breathing space, some filler, so the listener can think ahead and focus on the content.

Remember the big controversy we had about whether sending all those 599's in a contest had any value? And one guy said it provides a means for the guy on the receiving end to sort of "re-synch" or something. To me, CW

needs a bit of that.

Also, what about conventions and courtesies, and how about just mixing things up for the fun of it? I think if you make all of your communications so terse and so abrupt, they're not gonna be much fun.

Sure, for DX and contests, brevity has its place. But I've worked plenty of good CW Ops that would occasionally spell out a long word, maybe just because he liked the sound and the rhythm of it. Ain't runnin' any race.

I know I could have said that in 50% less characters, but ...

72--Nick, WA5BDU

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 20:19:53 -0400
From: tailfeathers@juno.com
To: ptay1@miro.bestweb.net
Cc: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [71564] Re: SG 2020
Message-ID: <20000531.201956.-4102435.1.tailfeathers@juno.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I would have to agree..I almost bought one and just to make sure I put it off a couple days until I was sure and when 2 national dealers kinda under their breath told me not to purchase one I looked into it a little further. I contacted the 2020 users group and they all said they liked their rig but almost everyone of them had to return their rig for repairs. I spoke to SGC at Dayton and asked them about their 90 warranty and got some answer about (we build our radio's for different use) ????? OK? I want one real bad but I wasn't aware of the K2 when I was looking around last winter. It is cool from a military point of view though. Read the reviews at eham.net as well as all of the qrp reviews. I'm in a building mood so if you all post your review there you will save me some time.

73 Gary

On Wed, 31 May 2000 19:36:39 -0700 paul taylor <ptay1@miro.bestweb.net> writes:

> My 2 cents:
> I don't think this radio is a great rig. Its inexpensive and looks
> good but
> ...
> Measured power is 15 watts at the 20 watt setting. usb is so so and
> hard

> to tune. cw is not as good as I would think with 15w. and the filter
> is not
> that good. At least there is no annoying background noise like the
> qrp+.
> lsb is very hard to tune and lsb went out tonight and dealer
> referred
> service questions to the factory which had no tech, but promised a
> return
> call in 24 hours.
> Its a problem rig. It may be a cult rig but it is also a problem
> paul taylor wb2gin

YOU'RE PAYING TOO MUCH FOR THE INTERNET!
Juno now offers FREE Internet Access!
Try it today - there's no risk! For your FREE software, visit:
<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/tagj>.

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 17:25:57 -0700
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@earthlink.net>
To: Wb8siw@aol.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71565] Re: QRP Social Capital on NPR
Message-ID: <3935AD95.EC5B3253@earthlink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Wb8siw@aol.com wrote:

>
> While many have spent significant amounts of time looking for a single cause
> (such as CW) to blame for a decline in interest in Amateur Radio as well as
> an increasing median age amongst licensed amateurs, it is far more likely
> that a wide variety of socioeconomic factors have had a significant impact on
> ham radio.
>

I suspect you are right .. but it is hard to think of our hobby as
suffering from "a social disease".

It is very true that my most active hamming days were when I was, long
ago, economically unable to participate in other activities. Ham radio
was there, fun and affordable. Plus, there was no internet :-)

Phil

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 20:35:17 -0400
From: "Ron Polityka" <wb3aal@talon.net>
To: ". QRP-L" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71566] June 3, 2000 TAC Contest
Message-ID: <01c701bfcb61\$42cd99e0\$8ee508cf@wb3aal>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

QRP TAC Sprint

Sponsored by The Eastern PA QRP Club
Date = Saturday, June 3, 2000
Time = 1800 to 2359 UTC
MODE = CW only

Exchange = Call, RST, Name, State, and TAC (Telephone Area Code) or DX Area Code.

Pennsylvania stations will send an "X" after the prefix.

Categories = QRP - 5 watts output or less.
 QRPP - Less than 1 watt output.
 Tactical - portable or temporary station using temporary antennas.
 Homebrew - you built a kit or from scratch.
 Newbie - this is the first contest you have ever entered.
You may enter up to 4 possible combination of categories.

QSO Points = 5 points for every QSO.
 10 points for each QSO with a Pennsylvania station.
 500 points with each contact with N3EPA.

The same station may be worked on different bands for additional QSO points and multipliers.

Frequencies = HF bands, 10, 15, 20, 40 & 80
No WARC bands. Suggest that you stay *around* the standard QRP frequencies.

MULTIPLIER = TAC and DX TAC.
 500 pts. x number of QSO's with N3EPA

SCORE = Total score = (QSO points x TACs) + 1000 points for every category that you entered.

POWER = 5 watts or less output.

AWARDS = Plaques for each winner in each category and Awards for 2nd and 3rd place.

Submit your log showing:

Band, Time UTC, Station, RST Sent & Received, Name, State or Country name and Telephone Area Codes.

DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION IS 8 JULY 2000.

WINNERS ANNOUNCED BEFORE 31 JULY 2000.

To receive a sample log try one of the following.

Web site = <http://www.n3epa.org>

E-mail = n3epa@talon.net

Mail a SASE and one unit postage to:

Eastern PA QRP Club, N3EPA

Attn: Ron Polityka

1155 Robeson St 2nd Flr

Reading, PA 19604-2151

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 20:44:42 EDT

From: NA1XX@aol.com

To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu

Subject: [71567] Re: slow speed code

Message-ID: <96.585dbd6.26670bfa@aol.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

One of the biggest reasons I studied for (and passed) my 20 wpm Extra class exam last year was so I could just relax for the rest of my ham career and send my code as slow as I darned well pleased!

If 40 wpm is fun for you, great! This is a hobby. If 80 wpm is fun for you (hi Ben NW7DX), then great! This is a hobby. If 5 wpm is fun for you, great! This is a hobby. Personally, I am happy to settle back at about 13 to 15 wpm for most casual QSO's, and I don't care who I have to impress any more by going any faster.

There is room for all of us in the FCC's choir, as long as we all can respect each other's love for communications and electronics and the MAGIC of what we do every day, fast or slow, qro or qrp, ssb, or cw or am or fm or psk or rtty or whatever else you like!

72's and 73's all around
NA1XX // Mike
North Weymouth MA
QRP-L # 1588
"When all else fails...CW"

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 18:02:33 -0700 (PDT)
From: Quinn Farnes <quinn_farnes@yahoo.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Cc: nally@talstar.com
Message-ID: <20000601010233.11960.qmail@web4107.mail.yahoo.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

John wrote:

"So I got suckered into putting an urban legend
into play, with regard to license upgrades. :-(
Oh well, not the first time I ever got bit. Would
anyone like some Neiman-Marcus cookies???"

Hey, that recipe wasn't no urban-legend. I made it.
Simply AWESOME cookies, though not exactly lo-cal!

Quinn(my DSW-20 on order)Farnes
WB6TDC

=====
end

Do You Yahoo!?
Send instant messages & get email alerts with Yahoo! Messenger.
<http://im.yahoo.com/>

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 21:25:59 -0400
From: hamjoel@juno.com
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71569] incentive licencing...
Message-ID: <20000531.212728.-243437.1.hamjoel@juno.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

high y'all

remember them times... peoples like meself lost priviledges that had been earned...

this time round... nobody lost anything....

The days of CW having a very important mission are gone.... no one's fault... just technology passing up another technology... The Commercial importance of cw is nill now...

A code speed of 5 wpm is plenty much to introduce someone to cw... if they like it they will continue to use it... and speed will go up to 15 or 20 wpm.... and they will be happy....

The written tests still cover more than they need too... however they seem to be no great deterrent to new applicants...

As for myself, I am very pleased with the new regulations... and someday I might just go take that "extra light" exam... and send a copy of the passing score to all the extras that long ago decided that I needed to lose operating priveledges to have incentive... even though I had the commercial phone licences and the 3rd telegraph... (that's 25wpm send receive one minute solid with the fcc examiner giving the test...)

Think back to AM.... u kneaux it's still alive and healthy... gives great audio however it's terribly inefficient... and it's still with us... lots of folk like it...I do too...

Ham radio, CW are not near extinction, nor will they be in my or your lifetimes... Like everything else it's constantly changing...just as kit building is constantly changing... new tools new technology, new radios...There is nothing in the new regulations that should cause any increase in blood pressure or heart rate...

don't get caught like myself and store up anger at change... 'cause things will change again... despite rumors to the contrary ham radio is alive and healthy, especially in qrp circles... so what say... we all get back to talking about contacts, kits, solutions to building or operating problems and leave this can of worms alone...it's devisive...and there an't nuttin broke to argue abut fixing... an't life funny....

kella joel

in maine

thinking nice thoughts

YOU'RE PAYING TOO MUCH FOR THE INTERNET!

Juno now offers FREE Internet Access!

Try it today - there's no risk! For your FREE software, visit:

<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/tagj>.

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 19:31:54 -0600 (MDT)

From: af852@rgfn.epcc.edu (William R Colbert)
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71570] Re: License upgrades
Message-ID: <200006010131.TAA04869@rgfn.epcc.edu>

Remember who signed as Secretary? Mary Jane Morris
Later Ben F. Waple.

And it was Curtis B. Plummer (on correspondence) but
C.B. Plummer on the license as there was not a lot of
room inside those little lines. Looked like a rubber
stamp of his signature. 1956-57 era.

--

"The more I see of the representatives of the people, the more I
admire my dogs." letter from Count d'Orsay to John Foster 1850
Ray Colbert, W5XE, 00TC#3618, SOWP#1064M NARTE-NCT2 SOC#78
El Paso, (FAR WEST) TEXAS

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 18:51:09 -0700
From: "Mark M." <markem@primenet.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71571] FS: IC-735
Message-ID: <3.0.6.32.20000531185109.007cb3b0@127.0.0.1>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

For sale: late model Icom IC-735 HF transceiver. Very good condition. Works
& looks great. Has 400 Hz CW filter. Set for 5W at minimum setting of RF
Power control (on front panel)...can be easily set to go lower. A great rig
for the new General class op. Includes hand mic, power cable, copy of
owners manual, and a factory service manual (original, not a copy). \$525
shipped CONUS. Pictures available. Email if interested.

73... Mark AA7TA markem@primenet.com

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 18:58:56 -0700
From: "Mark M." <markem@primenet.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu

Subject: [71572] FS: SWL WM-20 Kit & Encl.
Message-ID: <3.0.6.32.20000531185856.007ce490@127.0.0.1>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

For sale: Small Wonder Labs WM-20 20M QRP SSB kit with enclosure.
Unassembled, still in original packaging. I doubt I'll ever get around to
building it so am offering it for sale. \$150 shipped CONUS. Email if
interested.

73... Mark AA7TA

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 22:05:51 -0400
From: "k3peg" <k3peg@yahoo.com>
To: <rerobins@email.uncc.edu>, "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-
l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [71573] Re: License upgrades & C.C. Plummer
Message-ID: <012601bfcb6d\$ef50ffc0\$e019ced1@k3peg>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> You're an old timer if the name C.C. Plummer rings a bell.
>
> 72, Rick kf4ar

Rick and group,
The licensing officer's name was C.B. Plummer. I found a photocopy of my
General License... It was in August of 1962 when I (a 17 year old lad)
passed that sweaty palms, General Class cw test. I remember it well! Thanks
for the reminder. Also, Mr. Ben F. Waple was the Acting Secretary of the
FCC. My novice days (in 1961-62) were spent entirely on 40m cw @ 7.161 MHz.
My rcvr was a Hallicrafters S-38E, my xmtr was a homebrew 6AG7 - 807 at 35
watts input and my antenna was a dipole @ 20 ft off the ground.

Vy 72 de Larry - K3PEG

Do You Yahoo!?
Talk to your friends online with Yahoo! Messenger.
<http://im.yahoo.com>

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 22:02:08 -0400 (EDT)
From: "Paul R. Valko" <prvalko@oakland.edu>
To: BruceAA5B@aol.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71574] Re: IC706/FT100/DX70TH current?
Message-ID: <Pine.OSF.4.21.0005312154330.5135-1000000@saturn3.acs.oakland.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Wed, 31 May 2000 BruceAA5B@aol.com wrote:

> I'm going to buy a radio for general HF/VHF mobiling and mountaintopping,

Lovely...

> but will try to make it something that's also useful for occasional
> QRPing from the car. The ARRL's review of the FT100 said that the
> radio draws 10 amps even when the output power is reduced to 20 watts!
> Do any of you know how much current the IC-706 draws at 5 or 10 watts
> output? (Yes, I know it's gotta be WAY more than the K2... but surely
> it can't be 10 amps, can it?!)

I own an FT-100 and it is a wonderful radio for all that it does. I don't think you need to get in a snit over the current draw from your car if you are running off the car battery. It'll run the FT-100 for a long time.

On the other hand... I would venture to say the K2 is a superior receiver compared to any of the japanese mini-rigs... and obviously FAR more QRP-friendly.

If you are battling the internal debate between wanting 100 watts "when you need it" and desiring ultra-low current drain, that's one you'll have to come to terms with yourself. Certainly a difficult choice!

73! =paul= W8KC
Collector of Ten*Tecs and other fine plastics.
Visit the Virtual Ten*Tec Museum at:
<<http://www.acs.oakland.edu/~prvalko>>

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 20:40:09 -0500

From: "Dan W. Dooley" <dandooley@pipeline.com>
To: <rerobins@email.uncc.edu>, "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71575] Re: License upgrades & C.C. Plummer
Message-ID: <012001bfcb6d\$bb468ce0\$0400a8c0@bergenbrunswick.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Yes. At the FCC office in downtown Chicago. Took me two trips to get it right. After all, it was for one minute of solid copy. Probably nerves got me the first try. I passed the written for the Advance then so never did go General.

That 13 wpm level seemed as hard at the time as the 20 wpm test I took a few months ago.

Do I need to go look at the signature on my old Novice and Tech tickets?

Dan W. Dooley WB5TKA Bedford, Texas EM12ku
e-mail to: dandooley@pipeline.com

May Goddess love blest ye alle
SOC#198

"Ancient Pistol, I do partly understand your meaning."

----- Original Message -----

From: Richard E. Robinson <rerobins@email.uncc.edu>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2000 2:55 PM
Subject: Re: License upgrades & C.C. Plummer

> How many folks remember having to send 13 WPM to an FCC examiner to
upgrade
> to general? That was a very frightening experience to me when I was 15
> much worse than the written or receiving tests. I can still remember my
> palms sweating, being very nervous, and having the examiner tell me very
> calmly to relax. Somehow I passed, which was terrific since my dad had to
> drive 2 hours to get me there and I sure didn't want to disappoint him.
>
> You couldn't just go home and operate with your new privileges either.
You
> had to wait on the oh so slow FCC to mail you that new license. My novice
> license expired before the general license arrived so I was off the air
for
> awhile even though I had passed the tests. How things have changed.

>
> You're an old timer if the name C.C. Plummer rings a bell.
>
> 72,
>
> Rick kf4ar
>
>
>
>
>
>

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 20:57:29 -0500
From: "Dan W. Dooley" <dandooley@pipeline.com>
To: <ku7y@dri.edu>, "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71576] Re: Was slow speed CW: Now CW Operating
Message-ID: <012101bfcb6d\$bc40cb60\$0400a8c0@bergenbrunswick.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Always had the desire to go full QSK (Hmmm, is that redundant?), but was never able to fully realize it until I got and built the DSW-40. That is so sweet! No clicking relays. It just seems to "open up" so nicely between characters.

My 706 offers QSK, but it's a pain. Still got the annoying relay clicking. Somehow it irritates me more than the relay in my Drake T-4XB.

Someday I'll have a relay-less "big" radio.....

Dan W. Dooley WB5TKA Bedford, Texas EM12ku
e-mail to: dandooley@pipeline.com
May Goddes love blest ye alle
SOC#198
"Ancient Pistol, I do partly understand your meaning."

----- Original Message -----
From: Monte Stark <ku7y@dri.edu>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Tuesday, May 30, 2000 11:19 PM
Subject: Was slow speed CW: Now CW Operating

>
> And don't forget that about 95% of the operators don't use
> the feature of any modern rig that makes CW work just like
> talking to someone..... QSK!
>
>
> If your QSK doesn't work very well, try using what is known
> as Semi QSK. This was (is) how many of the older rigs worked.
> You keyed a tone generator which keyed the VOX. You set the
> VOX to have a hang time that would open between words to keep
> from killing your ears! :-)
>
> But work at using the QSK. It is one of the best things to
> ever happen to CW.
>
> And with these modern little rigs you don't even have to mess
> around with all those darned antenna swich-over circuits and
> RX muting things! :-)
>
> And did I mention that there should be a law about having to
> use QSK any time you are working in a pile up so that you can
> stop sending when the station being chased startes sending?
>

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 21:09:08 -0500
From: "George T. Baker" <w5yr@att.net>
To: af852@rgfn.epcc.edu
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71577] Re: License upgrades
Message-ID: <3935C5C4.76908C12@att.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Ok, now let's see who **really** has a memory:

James B. Beadle

Who? What? When?

Hint: do you have his autograph? I do - several times . . .

72/73, George

Fairview, TX 30 mi NE Dallas in Collin county

Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 54th year and it just keeps getting better!

R/C since 1964 - AMA 98452 RVing since 1972 Kachina #91900556

William R Colbert wrote:

>

> Remember who signed as Secretary? Mary Jane Morris

> Later Ben F. Waple.

>

> And it was Curtis B. Plummer (on correspondence) but

> C.B. Plummer on the license as there was not a lot of

> room inside those little lines. Looked like a rubber

> stamp of his signature. 1956-57 era.

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 19:12:43 -0700

From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@earthlink.net>

To: k3peg@yahoo.com

Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [71578] Re: License upgrades & C.C. Plummer

Message-ID: <3935C69B.557BA519@earthlink.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

k3peg wrote:

>

> > You're an old timer if the name C.C. Plummer rings a bell.

> >

> > 72, Rick kf4ar

>

> Rick and group,

> The licensing officer's name was C.B. Plummer. I found a photocopy of my

> General License... It was in August of 1962 when I (a 17 year old lad)

> passed that sweaty palms, General Class cw test. I remember it well!

Don't we all. I managed a "skin of my teeth" 66 characters in 12/53.

Talk about pucker time!

The qso-based, multiple-choice Extra 20 WPM test I passed was no sweat by comparison.

Phil

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 22:32:11 EDT
From: AdamN7YA@aol.com
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71579] test...delete unless you like this sort of thing
Message-ID: <27.649e697.2667252b@aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I seem to have trouble posting to the list.

72/73/74...Adam, N7YA
Las Vegas, NV...Zone 03
QRP-L #1608, SOC #143, Y Guy #2
Code Warrior #76, Hamusician #1

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 22:33:33 EDT
From: AdamN7YA@aol.com
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71580] Re: License upgrades
Message-ID: <3a.5d86e15.2667257d@aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

<< "I heard that several guys around here with Extra licenses gave up
their licenses and retested to become Advanced before April 15. They
wanted to have a license that "proved" they were better. Go figure."

>>

That is funny...and i do feel the advanced written was quite a bit more
challenging than the Extra written. but the funny thing is that outside of
our hobby...going out into the real world and trying to convince the populace
at large that you are "better" because you passed the "real mans extra" and
not the "extra lite" will be met with blank stares and eventually a shake of
the head...if they dont just walk away from you entirely with the image of
another societal nutcase etched in thier minds, in other words...it doesnt
mean a whole lot to anyone but us as to what happens to the hobby internally,
all they see is the face value...is the whining a good marketing strategy?
you know it isnt and so do i, but there is a contingent who want things to
stay the way they remember it to be "back in MY day"...new blood couldnt care

less about it.

I for one dont want to see ham radio represented that way. but all i can do is what i can do...ill just continue to do my part, promoting ham radio as a family, not a bunch of elite geeks. the other side, the doomsayers and elitists, will hopefully relax or rediscover the joy of CB...its their choice. I hope its the first one, but i cant control the flow of a river, i can just make sure my front yard stays clean. I will continue to introduce people to the joy of my hobby and leave it up to them, and when i manage to interest someone i will walk them through and start them off with the proper ways of the hobby so that maybe a productive and excited new ham can get the most out of what we enjoy as hams...and maybe they themselves will elmer the next line of new recruits. one can hope!

The first ham i elmered was back in 1985, i looked him up in Buckmasters and hes still there...i was elated!! I encouraged fair play and observation of the rules and most of all FUN! and he is still among our ranks. that isnt about 'me'...its about 'us', these many different types of people that encompass our hobby gives us a variety that one could explore for years. trying to exclude new hams, regardless of HOW they got there only serves to weaken the threads that hold this family together....and i refuse to take part in that!

So to any ham who took the time to talk to someone about ham radio, regardless if they were old or young, or who took the time to teach someone..or a group of people like on this list...you deserve respect. if that ham chose to stay on the side of the positive...then you have my utmost gratitude and admiration....because that is the type of ham that will keep the hobby alive.

With that....im going to go grab a pizza....

72/73/74...Adam, N7YA
Las Vegas, NV...Zone 03
QRP-L #1608, SOC #143, Y Guy #2
Code Warrior #76, Hamusician #1

72/73/74...Adam, N7YA
Las Vegas, NV...Zone 03
QRP-L #1608, SOC #143, Y Guy #2
Code Warrior #76, Hamusician #1

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 19:43:28 +0000
From: flyer@hooked.net
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71581] Tnx For Info Bottom of 10 Meters
Message-ID: <200006010236.TAA04361@wenet.net>

Thanks to the many of you who responded to my question about the

phone signals at the bottom end of the 10 meter band. My worst fears were realized--they sounded like CBers, albeit in a different language, and they are.

Mark Smith W7MTP Pleasanton CA

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 22:44:03 -0400
From: "Nick Yokanovich" <k3ny@toad.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71582] Re: QRP Social Capital on NPR
Message-ID: <007d01bfcb73\$40ab29e0\$2dbc21a2@default>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Roger's recollection of the item is pretty good. The piece was an interview of Roger Putnam, who just wrote a book "Bowling Alone : The Collapse and Revival of American Community". One point made was that there are more bowlers now than ever, more people bowl than vote, but league bowling is down by 60%.

Is the same true for ham radio? I don't know. One club I'm in has a large membership, over 200, and there are one or two new members joining at every meeting. The new members have wide-ranging interests from QRP to ATV to designing and building 220 MHz transceivers. Some join just to participate in public service events and to use the repeater. Is this typical
or is it an anachronism?

Nick K3NY
Arnold, MD
"I am not a doctor and my name isn't Arnold."

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 22:40:22 -0400
From: "John J. McDonough" <wb8rcr@arrl.net>
To: <nkennedy@tcainternet.com>, "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71583] Re: Analog Meters
Message-ID: <030f01bfcb72\$caf000e0\$010044c0@Conor.baycty1.mi.home.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Funny you should mention...

B.G. Micro also has an LED meter, just got one in today's mail. OK, not as nice as an actual REAL meter, but for an S meter or something... Basically has an A/D and an LED bargraph display on a little board, and you can program the range you want. Not bad for 4 bux.

72/73 de WB8RCR <http://members.home.com/wb8rcr/index.htm>
didileydadidah QRP-L #1446 Code Warriors #35

-----Original Message-----

From: Nick Kennedy <nkennedy@tcainternet.com>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Date: Wednesday, May 31, 2000 8:06 PM
Subject: RE: Analog Meters

>BG Micro has a couple of nice 50 microamp meters at about \$4 or \$5 apiece.

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 23:10:55 -0400
From: Fran Flynn <fflynn@together.net>
To: qrp-l Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71584] re: cw
Message-ID: <3935D43F.EA28E2B4@together.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

>wn6q de kf6xxx r ur rst 579 bt qth lompoc, ca bt name todd bt
>bk to u ar wn6q de kf6xxx k

Good points raised. It's all about getting the info through. Accuracy first, speed second. If you want to save time, get rid of unnecessary or redundant information. You don't have to send fast.

You could even eliminate the AR without too many others noticing. But when in QS0, a KN should be used to indicate that you are in QS0 and turning over to the other station.

-km1z

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 20:14:52 -0700
From: "n6wg:First n6wg:Last" <n6wg@earthlink.net>
To: <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71585] Re: Silent Key
Message-ID: <004d01bfcb77\$928faf20\$9bf1fc9e@oemcomputer>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

George

Very sorry to hear about G3YCC. I've enjoyed browsing his site for several years now.

I'm sure he will be missed by all who know him and his works.

Please pass my condolences to the family.

73, Bob N6WG

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 20:21:43 -0700
From: Roger Hightower <n7kt@worldnet.att.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71586] Re: slow speed code
Message-ID: <3935D6C7.2E76DFA0@worldnet.att.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Didn't we all start at 5 wpm? I know I did, and still remember that agonizing first qso, with a straight key. An Extra, retired USAF type, answered my CQ and patiently stuck with me through the basic QSO.....name, age, rig, antenna, QTH, etc, and my "sri fer poor cw. First QSO". Then he said "stick with it, you'll get better, and you'll like it".

And I did, like all of us. If I hear a slow code CQ, I'll answer it because I remember my first one, even though I don't enjoy slow code anymore. Stick with it. They'll get better, and they'll like it.

--

72.....Roger

Roger Hightower, N7KT Mesa, AZ K2#591 SOC #14

Date: Tue, 30 May 2000 23:27:11 -0400
From: MARTIN J STRATTON <kc2cwn@juno.com>
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71587] need call signs for cw contacts in PA NY OH 6-3-2000
Message-ID: <20000530.232712.3558.3.kc2cwn@juno.com>

Hi

I am Martin KC2CWN/QRP arc1 10148. Bicycle portable QRP CW.

I live in Binghamton NY.

If you live in the vicinity of NY, PA, OH? or so. Pse Email ur call sign ,qrp arc1 number, and time you will be on band , So I can call you directly .I have feeling the 40M wont carry that far on sat 6-3-2000 at 1400 est.Here is my itiniary for the Bike ride.1400PM 7028 kHz CQ first QS01700PM 7028 kHz CQ second QS01950PM 7028 kHz CQ third qso .

2400 hrs

If you are interested in making contact later that evening.I can sked a couple additional qso breaks.I am pedaling to a ham fest . The long way 30 miles to it.Then I pedal 12 miles back.

I will repeat this sked on 6-17 and 6-24.

my rig is a MFJ 9040.ant 8ft hamstick attached to bicycle.tick 1 keyer.12v gel cells (2) de Martin kc2cwn/qrp

YOU'RE PAYING TOO MUCH FOR THE INTERNET!

Juno now offers FREE Internet Access!

Try it today - there's no risk! For your FREE software, visit:
<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/tagj>.

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 20:54:50 -0700
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@earthlink.net>
To: n7kt@worldnet.att.net
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71588] Re: slow speed code
Message-ID: <3935DE8A.1567D16E@earthlink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Roger Hightower wrote:

>

> Didn't we all start at 5 wpm?

When I first operated, it was likely closer to 3 WPM!

Phil

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 23:00:10 -0500

From: "Dan W. Dooley" <dandooley@pipeline.com>

To: <fflynn@together.net>, "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [71589] Re: cw

Message-ID: <002001bfcb7d\$e485fcc0\$0400a8c0@bergenbrunswick.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The "N" in kn indicates back to the other station in the QSO ONLY. It would be implied, I'm sure, that just the fact that you're turning it back, you mean to the station you are in QSO with, thus for that reason the N is un-needed.

It does however, say "no other station", meaning that, or at least taken by most of us, "no breaking stations welcomed."

Methinks that this is correct.....

Dan W. Dooley WB5TKA Bedford, Texas EM12ku

e-mail to: dandooley@pipeline.com

May Goddes love blest ye alle

SOC#198

"Ancient Pistol, I do partly understand your meaning."

----- Original Message -----

From: Fran Flynn <fflynn@together.net>

To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2000 10:10 PM

Subject: re: cw

> >wn6q de kf6xxx r ur rst 579 bt qth lompoc, ca bt name todd bt

> >bk to u ar wn6q de kf6xxx k

>

> Good points raised. It's all about getting the info through. Accuracy
> first, speed second. If you want to save time, get rid of unnecessary
> or redundant information. You don't have to send fast.
>
> You could even eliminate the AR without too many others noticing. But
> when in QSO, a KN should be used to indicate that you are in QSO and
> turning over to the other station.
>
> -km1z
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 23:05:28 -0500
From: "Kevin Muenzler WB5RUE" <wb5rue@stic.net>
To: <n7kt@worldnet.att.net>, "'Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion'" <qrp-
l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71590] RE: slow speed code
Message-ID: <000001bfcb7e\$9f9170d0\$b03ec6d8@wb5rue>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

here here!!

> -----Original Message-----
> From: owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
> [mailto:owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU]On Behalf Of
> Roger Hightower
> Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2000 10:22 PM
> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
> Subject: Re: slow speed code
>
>
> Didn't we all start at 5 wpm? I know I did, and still remember that
> agonizing first qso, with a straight key. An Extra, retired
> USAF type,
> answered my CQ and patiently stuck with me through the basic
> QSO.....name, age, rig, antenna, QTH, etc, and my "sri fer poor cw.
> First QSO". Then he said "stick with it, you'll get better,

> and you'll
> like it".
>
> And I did, like all of us. If I hear a slow code CQ, I'll answer it
> because I remember my first one, even though I don't enjoy slow code
> anymore. Stick with it. They'll get better, and they'll like it.
> --
> 72.....Roger
>
> Roger Hightower, N7KT Mesa, AZ K2#591 SOC #14
>

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 23:06:38 -0500
From: "Dan W. Dooley" <dandooley@pipeline.com>
To: <w5yr@att.net>, "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71591] Re: License upgrades
Message-ID: <003801bfcb7e\$cc2d4560\$0400a8c0@bergenbrunswick.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I personally knew a "Jim" Beadle (don't recall if that's the spelling) when I lived in 9 land. Back in the late '70s. We belonged to the same radio club so I ran into him at all the meetings. His call was K or W or something 9NIN - I think. He was a DJ on a C&W station in Chicago. Think I heard him on some small station in rural OK a few years ago.

Warm or cold?

Dan W. Dooley WB5TKA Bedford, Texas EM12ku
e-mail to: dandooley@pipeline.com
May Goddes love blest ye alle
SOC#198
"Ancient Pistol, I do partly understand your meaning."

----- Original Message -----
From: George T. Baker <w5yr@att.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2000 9:09 PM
Subject: Re: License upgrades

> Ok, now let's see who *really* has a memory:

>
> James B. Beadle
>
> Who? What? When?
>
> Hint: do you have his autograph? I do - several times . . .
>
> 72/73, George
> Fairview, TX 30 mi NE Dallas in Collin county
> Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 54th year and it just keeps getting better!
> R/C since 1964 - AMA 98452 RVing since 1972 Kachina #91900556
>
>
>
>
> William R Colbert wrote:
> >
> > Remember who signed as Secretary? Mary Jane Morris
> > Later Ben F. Waple.
> >
> > And it was Curtis B. Plummer (on correspondence) but
> > C.B. Plummer on the license as there was not a lot of
> > room inside those little lines. Looked like a rubber
> > stamp of his signature. 1956-57 era.

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 00:14:29 -0400
From: Pete Burbank <plburbank@kih.net>
To: <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71592] Re: slow speed CW
Message-ID: <3.0.32.20000601001425.0073b044@kih.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

>There are lots of abbreviations and other procedural things to learn,
>and many of them are of value in speeding things up. This contributes
>to the feeling that a CW conversation doesn't really progress much
>slower than a fone conversation with a good operator. But you don't
>have to learn them all at once. Most of us are still learning.

>
>72, WN6Q
>-----
>Anthony Felino, Pacific Information Design
>email: anthony@pacinfosb.com
>telephone: (805) 730 1565, x25

>-----
>
>
>
Anthony,
Well said.
The basic info should only take up a short time under good
condx. I really don't like the conversational "fillers" like
"my location"... "your RST" etc.
A fairly quick basic info exchange allows entry into more
friendly conversation...rig, family, or whatever.
My understanding is that "BK" means BREAK requiring an
immediate reply from the receiving operator.
When someone uses "BK" inside of "BACK TO YOU" and I jump for
the key, I get a bit annoyed.
BK is not back...it is BREAK...
Besides which...who else would it be back to?...
A simple HOW??? (HW?) will suffice and says all that the
"back to you" stuff says.
"Back to you" usually leaves me groaning unless I need to visit
the visit the bathroom or kitchen.
A simple and quite adequate first exchange is " WXXXX de WZZZZ
RR BT RST___ BT QTH_____BT NAME____BT HW? BK
The next exchange is usually WX, RIG, CONDX and after that a
conversation ensues.
Of course all the above is modified by QRM,QSB or QRS so you
have to play it by ear...(slow down) (repeat) etc.
After the basic info is exchanged the real challenge begins,
that of engaging in a conversation and making friends. This is
stage 3 and often doesn't happen (unfortunately).
73 Pete NV4V
.

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 21:06:53 -0700
From: sigcom@juno.com
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71593] Re: Silent Key
Message-ID: <20000531.210700.-3793455.2.sigcom@juno.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

George and group,

I was shocked and saddened to learn of Frank's passing. I'll always
remember Frank's upbeat posts to QRP-L promoting additions to his site.

He will indeed be missed.

73.....Steve, WB6TNL
The Scrounger

YOU'RE PAYING TOO MUCH FOR THE INTERNET!
Juno now offers FREE Internet Access!
Try it today - there's no risk! For your FREE software, visit:
<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/tagj>.

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 21:12:35 -0700 (PDT)
From: Monte Stark <ku7y@dri.edu>
To: "Dan W. Dooley" <dandooley@pipeline.com>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71594] Re: cw
Message-ID: <Pine.GS0.4.10.10005312108550.8964-100000@rotor.dri.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Wed, 31 May 2000, Dan W. Dooley wrote:

>
> It does however, say "no other station", meaning that, or at least taken by
> most of us, "no breaking stations welcomed."
>
> Methinks that this is correct.....
>

Absolutly right Dan,

If you do NOT want anyone else to join in the QSO then send
KN. If you are open for others to join in and make a round
table out of it, just send K.

For those who have never had a good round table QSO, they can
be a blast. Sometimes they will continue on for hours and hours.
Different stations comming and going.

Have gone to bed and found the activity still going on when I
got up in the AM!

And did I ever mention the chess games that W6MNN and I used to
play in 1952-53?

We met face to face about 3 years ago for the first time! But
that's another story for another time.....

cul,

73, Ron

.....KU7Y.....ARCI #8829.....Monte "Ron" Stark.....
....ku7y@qsl.net....SOC #2.....Nevada....NRA LIFE....
.....SOWP 5545M.....WHINERS #1.....ZOMBIE #18.....
....Visit my Home Page.....<http://www.qsl.net/ku7y/>....

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 21:18:06 -0700 (PDT)
From: Monte Stark <ku7y@dri.edu>
To: Pete Burbank <plburbank@kih.net>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71595] Re: slow speed CW
Message-ID: <Pine.GS0.4.10.10005312114200.8964-1000000@rotor.dri.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Thu, 1 Jun 2000, Pete Burbank wrote:

> After the basic info is exchanged the real challenge begins,
> that of engaging in a conversation and making friends. This is
> stage 3 and often doesn't happen (unfortunately).

Pete brings out a good point.....

To get to his stage 3, there is one good trick to try.....

Ask the other station something. Like where they work or
what other hobbies they have.

Other hobbies is a great one to chat about.

I have found that there are a couple of very popular other
hobbies with hame..... fly fishing and shoot/hunting! But
there are just about as many others as there are people.

Be sure to ask. Often times others are just a bit too fixed
in their habits to start talking about themselves.

OK, back in that hole again.....

73, Ron

.....KU7Y.....ARCI #8829.....Monte "Ron" Stark.....
....ku7y@qsl.net....SOC #2.....Nevada....NRA LIFE....
.....SOWP 5545M.....WHINERS #1.....ZOMBIE #18.....
....Visit my Home Page.....<http://www.qsl.net/ku7y/>....

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 21:28:19 -0700
From: "John Moriarity" <k6qq@hdo.net>
To: <dandooley@pipeline.com>, "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-
l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71596] Re: cw
Message-ID: <026201bfcb81\$d1582de0\$f0424cd1@k6qq>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Dan,

You're 100% right. *Everyone* should read the article
"Your Novice Accent" (URL posted earlier today).

Operating procedures are getting pretty loose these days.

72,

John, K6QQ (a *really old* farte, licensed Sept. 1950.)

> The "N" in kn indicates back to the other station in the QSO ONLY. It
would
> be implied, I'm sure, that just the fact that you're turning it back,
you
> mean to the station you are in QSO with, thus for that reason the N is
> un-needed.
>
> It does however, say "no other station", meaning that, or at least
taken by
> most of us, "no breaking stations welcomed."
>
> Methinks that this is correct.....
>
>
> Dan W. Dooley WB5TKA Bedford, Texas EM12ku

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 22:40:42 -0600 (MDT)
From: "Paul Harden, NA5N" <na5n@rt66.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Cc: gqrp@onelist.com
Subject: [71597] [PROP] Solar Summary May 2000
Message-ID: <Pine.SUN.4.10.10005312226350.14202-100000@shell.rt66.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Gang,

During the month of may, the sun went from periods of very low activity (like right now) to some very active days of M-class flares and coronal mass ejections (CME's), which triggered several days of severe geomagnetic storming. Solar flux also varied from very low numbers to very high, as shown below.

The sun takes about 28 days to complete a rotation, and the solar flux tends to follow this rotation. It will reach a minimum solar flux value every 28 days and a high every 28 days. If the solar flux is plotted, it makes a rough "sine wave" with a 28 day period, and roughly follows the daily sunspot count.

Solar flux MAXIMUM was 262 on May 17th (pretty high!)

Solar flux MINIMUM was 127 on May 6th
and 146 on May 30th

Since the last solar flux minimum was May 30th, the next solar flux maximum can be expected to be around June 12th (14 days later). Thus, solar flux should be above 200 again by next week for good propagation on the higher bands.

May was also plagued with numerous MINOR geomagnetic storms as a result of several coronal mass ejections, whose shock waves struck the earth. Oddly, a relatively small C-class flare (C.7) on May 20th struck the earth nearly dead-on triggering a SEVERE geomagnetic storm on May 24th. K indexes were 5-7 all day, and the 24-hour averaged A-index was 73. According to my records, that's the strongest geomagnetic storm since Oct. 22, 1999 when the A-index hit 87. Both storms caused a near HF blackout.

Right now (first of June), the sun is very quiet, with no real active regions facing us. Thus, major flares are unlikely for the next week. So, solar flux will rise for good propagation on the higher bands, and the low activity should keep our geomagnetic fields quiet for awhile for

normal noise/absorption levels on 80-30M.

The next couple of weeks looks very favorable for QRP DX.

72, Paul NA5N

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 21:39:53 -0700
From: "John Moriarity" <k6qq@hdo.net>
To: <plburbank@kih.net>, "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71598] Re: slow speed CW
Message-ID: <027601bfcb83\$6e986a60\$f0424cd1@k6qq>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> My understanding is that "BK" means BREAK requiring an
> immediate reply from the receiving operator.
> When someone uses "BK" inside of "BACK TO YOU" and I jump for
> the key, I get a bit annoyed.
> BK is not back...it is BREAK...

This is something that bothers me too, but for a different reason. When I was taught procedure, I was told that BK (sent as ONE character) meant temporarily handing it over to the other station, usually when asking for a fill of something missed. When giving it to the other station at the end of your transmission, I was taught to use just K. K is the "invitation to transmit".

I even do it this way contests, and nobody seems to get confused. Simplifies things just a li-i-ittle bit more :-)

72,

John, K6QQ

Alturas, CA, at the corner of 299 & 395.

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 00:15:53 -0500
From: mjfitz@uswest.net
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [71599] Re: Analog Meters
Message-ID: <3935F189.D7ED7A05@uswest.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Doug, builders...

Surplus Sales of Nebraska has a fairly large inventory of meters. Order their printed catalog for a large listing...not always the cheapest, but sometimes reasonable...

<http://www.surplussales.com/Meters.html>

Mike NOMF
Missouri Valley IA

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 01:32:52 -0400 (EDT)
From: Bob Patten <n4bp@bc.seflin.org>
To: BruceAA5B@aol.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71600] Re: IC706/FT100/DX70TH current?
Message-ID: <Pine.3.89.10006010116.B6503-01000000@bc.seflin.org>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Wed, 31 May 2000 BruceAA5B@aol.com wrote:

>
> how much current the IC-706 draws at 5 or 10 watts output? (Yes, I know it's
> gotta be WAY more than the K2... but surely it can't be 10 amps, can it?!)
>

If you turn off the display backlight, RX current is just over an amp.
If I remember right, at 5W output, current draw is between 4-5 amps...
The 706 also has an "auto-off" menu option. You can set the rig to power down completely after 30 or 60 minutes. Could be useful when running from batteries.

73,

Bob Patten, N4BP

(0 0)

Plantation, FL

-----o00o-()-o00-----

E-Mail: n4bp@bc.seflin.org
Web Page: <http://www.qsl.net/n4bp>
Brass Pounder BBS: (954) 472-7715
SOC #1Whiners #6

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 22:49:49 -0700 (PDT)
From: Monte Stark <ku7y@dri.edu>
To: Gary Lee Phillips KA9NZI <ka9nzi@arrl.net>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71601] Re: License upgrades
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10005312235220.9911-100000@rotor.dri.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Gary and all,

This is one of the few "not so nice" posts you'll see me make but.....

This has caused me to get a bit hot.

First, I've not seen all these many posts about one kind of extra being better than some other kind. Only seen one partial reference to ONE post.

Life is full of real people. Not all people think and act in ways that most of us think they should. Sometimes this is good and sometimes it's not so good.

Second, I have been an extra for a few years now and have never thought of my license or myself as being better or worse than anyone else.

The fact that I took all my tests under the FCC folks and had to do one full minute of solid copy doesn't make me any better (or worse) than someone who takes the test by filling in the blanks. It just means that I took mine in a different time period.

It makes me far from happy to see someone throw me into some imaginary (sp?) box and say that I am something that I am not.

While I could jump to conclusions and/or make sinde remarks

about why everyone doesn't want to progress through the various levels of licenses class that would be silly. People just don't fit into box's. Different strokes for diferent folks.

So I'd appricate it if the next time you chose to make snap judgments about classes of people, you please either leave out any classes that I fit into or exempt me by name.

Unless the charges apply to me.

73, Ron

.....KU7Y.....ARCI #8829.....Monte "Ron" Stark.....
....ku7y@qsl.net....SOC #2.....Nevada....NRA LIFE....
.....SOWP 5545M.....WHINERS #1.....ZOMBIE #18.....
....Visit my Home Page.....<http://www.qsl.net/ku7y/>....

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 04:41:27 -0400
From: "J. Ervin Bates" <w8erv@email.msn.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <grp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71602] Article on QRZ.com
Message-ID: <01fe01bfcba5\$30c01400\$f6451b3f@win98>

I don't like to shout for my own benefit, but I am so excited that I had to share some good news with my fellow Amateurs.

Upon my return from a short holiday in the Northern Michigan area, I received word from Fred Lloyd at QRZ.com, that one of my article submissions would be on the site for visitors to read. Now, considering that I have written articles in the past (in my High School days), I was ecstatic to hear this news. It brings back many memories of that time.

"Elmering Needs a Revival" is the title and I would appreciate your comments, if you have time to review it. I may do this writing thing on a regular basis, so your opinions count to me.

Thanks for your time and consideration.....

72,
Erv W8ERV

HamFair2000 is coming...ask me about it!
"Dare to Dream-It Sets Your Spirit Free!"

10-10# 70639 - QRP-ARCI# 9702 - SOC# 41

QRP-L #1569 - NorCal Zombie #236 - Worked All
El Paso #033/1999 - Member, MI DX Assn.
MI QRP Club, M-1688 - FPqrp- 50 - Member ARRL
Rag Chewers' Club - WAC -

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 09:46:41 +0100
From: "Walt Amos" <k8cv@worldspy.net>
To: "Qrp-l Posts" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [71603] K is dangerous in contests
Message-ID: <007701bfcba5\$e95ea6c0\$a94f6620@walthk8cv>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Folks:

If inadequate spacing is used in the name of SPEED in contests the " K " can become part of your call and cause a BUSTED CALL contact.

Spacing in GOOD OLD CLEAN cw important.

Remember that word SPACING !!

Now cut numbers but that's another story, as someone around here says. :-)

Walt k8cv

----- Original Message -----

From: "John Moriarity" <k6qq@hdo.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Thursday, June 01, 2000 05:39
Subject: Re: slow speed CW

> > My understanding is that "BK" means BREAK requiring an
> > immediate reply from the receiving operator.
> > When someone uses "BK" inside of "BACK TO YOU" and I jump for
> > the key, I get a bit annoyed.

> > BK is not back...it is BREAK...
>
> This is something that bothers me too, but for a different
> reason. When I was taught procedure, I was told that BK
> (sent as ONE character) meant temporarily handing it over
> to the other station, usually when asking for a fill of
> something missed. When giving it to the other station at
> the end of your transmission, I was taught to use just K.
> K is the "invitation to transmit".
>
> I even do it this way contests, and nobody seems to get
> confused. Simplifies things just a li-i-ittle bit more :-)
>
> 72,
>
> John, K6QQ
>
> Alturas, CA, at the corner of 299 & 395.
>
>
>
>

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 06:23:32 -0400
From: David M Kopacki <kf2ew@juno.com>
To: BruceAA5B@aol.com
Cc: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [71604] Re: IC706/FT100/DX70TH current?
Message-ID: <20000601.062632.-16589015.0.kf2ew@juno.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Bruce, I just checked my IC-706 because we are planning a QRP field day.
The -706, at the lowest power setting, put out 3 watts. At 5 watts, the
draw was 7.2 amps! It was about 6 amps at the 3 watt level.

72,
Mike, KF2EW

On Wed, 31 May 2000 19:25:14 EDT BruceAA5B@aol.com writes:
> I'm going to buy a radio for general HF/VHF mobiling and
> mountaintopping,
> but will try to make it something that's also useful for occasional
> QRPing

> from the car. The ARRL's review of the FT100 said that the radio
> draws 10
> amps even when the output power is reduced to 20 watts! Do any of
> you know
> how much current the IC-706 draws at 5 or 10 watts output? (Yes, I
> know it's
> gotta be WAY more than the K2... but surely it can't be 10 amps, can
> it?!)
>
> How about the Alinco DX70TH, which is supposed to be a nice mobiling
> rig?
>
> Thanks,
> Bruce AA5B
>
> BruceAA5B@aol.com

YOU'RE PAYING TOO MUCH FOR THE INTERNET!

Juno now offers FREE Internet Access!

Try it today - there's no risk! For your FREE software, visit:

<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/tagj>.

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 06:13:44 -0500

From: Karl Kanalz <KKanalz@excel.com>

To: "'rerobins@email.uncc.edu'" <rerobins@email.uncc.edu>, Low Power Amateur Radio
Discussion <grp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [71605] RE: Analog Meters

Message-ID: <2D343922E283D211945C0008C7A41B2A02B20C18@adntex01.adsn.dal.excel.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Don't forget B.G. Micro (they're on the web). They too, have
analog (analogue?) meters of several kinds.

Karl K - W8TIF
McKinney, Texas

-----Original Message-----

From: Richard E. Robinson [mailto:rerobins@email.uncc.edu]

Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2000 3:06 PM

To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion

Subject: Re: Analog Meters

Dan's Small Parts has some analog meters. Not a large selection but a few

at reasonable prices.

<http://www.fix.net/~jparker/dans.html#dan's22>

I've bought some of Dan's meters before and they are great quality for the money. Dan is my first stop for anything I need. He ships quick and is reasonably priced.

Also, try All Electronics.

<http://www.allectronics.com>

An order of mine from All Electronics came in today with their new catalog in it which has meters listed. I've bought meters from them before and they are also good quality. They have a larger selection of meters than Dan's.

Usual disclaimers apply, I'm just a satisfied customer of Dan's and All Electronics.

72,

Rick kf4ar

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 08:44:54 -0400
From: "Richard E. Robinson" <rerobins@email.uncc.edu>
To: tailfeathers@juno.com
Cc: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71606] Re: SG 2020
Message-ID: <v03102804b55c07e87556@[152.15.144.71]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Any ARRL member can obtain a copy of the October 1998 QST review of the SGC-2020 from the Members Only web page.

<http://www.arrl.org/members-only/prodrev/pdf/pr9810.pdf>

There is also an excellent comparison chart of ARRL product review data on the Elecraft page. This compares the measured specifications of 11 different currently available HF rigs including the SGC-2020 and K2.

http://www.elecraft.com/specs/K2_meas.htm

Either of these sites should answer questions about the SGC-2020 and how it

ranks among other popular rigs.

72,

Rick kf4ar

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 07:38:20 -0500
From: George F Franklin <w0av@juno.com>
To: w5yr@att.net
Cc: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [71607] Re: License upgrades
Message-ID: <20000601.074716.-382745.0.w0av@juno.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello George,

If my memory serves me correctly (it does so occasionally) the Beadle signature was on my first ham ticket (W9UXQ) in May, 1935.

Incidentally, I received my Extra in 1952, but it conveyed no privileges until late 1967, by which date my Extra Certificate had turned yellow on the wall.

72/73/74 de George/W0AV
SOC #101

YOU'RE PAYING TOO MUCH FOR THE INTERNET!
Juno now offers FREE Internet Access!
Try it today - there's no risk! For your FREE software, visit:
<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/tagj>.

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 08:54:21 -0400
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
To: ku7y@dri.edu
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [71608] Re: License upgrades
Message-ID: <39365CFD.56AB@erols.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Ron,

My extra, and all my other licenses, were taken before the FCC inspector many years ago. I too have never thought it special. I got the extra because I wanted the extra CW privileges I had formerly had as a general. The law had changed and I knew it was pointless to grumble and grouse about it. I just boned up and took the test. Please, will all the others on this list follow the advice Ron gives below for me too!

73

>

> So I'd appreciate it if the next time you chose to make snap
> judgments about classes of people, you please either leave
> out any classes that I fit into or exempt me by name.

>

> Unless the charges apply to me.

>

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 09:10:28 -0400
From: "John Nall" <nally@talstar.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71609] Thanks for cw advice
Message-ID: <001101bfcbca\$c36a0200\$feeffea9@r6g4f3>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Just a note to the different people who have either given good advice on how to use cw on the air, or given valuable pointers to websites, or both:

For someone like myself, who really wants to not only learn cw and use it, but also wants to do it the "right way," these learning sources are very much appreciated. So thanks much.

John WB4LOQ

(No, I'm not a novice. But so far as cw is concerned, I might as well be, I suppose :-)

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 08:41:51 -0500

From: "Gary Lee Phillips" <ka9nzi@arrl.net>
To: Monte Stark <ku7y@dri.edu>, Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-
l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71610] Re: License upgrades
Message-ID: <200006011341.IAA27173@mail.lib.colum.edu>

Monte, please, understand that my remarks were not directed to you. There actually have been quite a lot of postings on QRP-L (as well as letters to ham publications) from disgruntled extras who refer to "giving away" privileges, "stealing" their rights from them, "insulting" them, etc. and calling those who upgrade under the new rules "lite" with the implication that they are not entitled to their license privileges. If you haven't seen them, you are fortunate in my opinion. I will spare you by not forwarding copies of any.

I did say that I was talking about only those extras who keep making disparaging remarks about current upgraders. There are plenty of serious and sensible extras as well, of course, and I wish more of them would be vocal with their common sense and help to shut up the whiners.

Those who complain loudest about the reduced code speeds are still, in my firm opinion, probably the least likely to be active code users. They would know, if they used code regularly, that use will produce speed and accuracy in a short time anyway and that holding up a higher speed level to allow entry is a false barrier. Instead, I think the complainers simply viewed the 13 or 20 wpm test as a "rite of passage" they had to undergo and then forget.

My concern in the regulatory changes is the band plan. Already a petition has been filed to take away CW space and allocate it for phone. Since CW and digital share their subband, and digital use is growing at least as fast as CW is declining (if it is declining at all), such a move would be disastrous. We need to focus on defending the subband allocations rather than on fighting about who has a "real" license and who has a "lite" one. In general QRP-L writers have been sensible and restrained on the subject, but it still keeps popping up now and then.

-- Gary Phillips, Marengo, IL <mailto:ka9nzi@arrl.net>
KA9NZI, Seneca Twp., McHenry Co., IL Grid: EN52rg
QRP-L #2124 <http://www.qsl.net/ka9nzi/>

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 09:42:51 -0400
From: "AI2Q Alex" <ai2q@ispchannel.com>
To: <w7ox@earthlink.net>
Cc: "QRP-L (E-mail)" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71611] C.C. Plummer?
Message-ID: <000201bfcbcf\$48710b20\$5c32a7d0@ispchannel.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi folks:

I have my Novice license hanging on the wall here. It's dated 9-29-59, and the signature is C.B. Plummer, not C.C. Just a minor correction for you purists out there!

Vy 73, AI2Q, Alex in Kennebunk, Maine QRP-L 687 .-.-.

-----Original Message-----

From: owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU] On Behalf Of Phil Wheeler
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2000 10:13 PM
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
Subject: Re: License upgrades & C.C. Plummer

k3peg wrote:

>
> > You're an old timer if the name C.C. Plummer rings a bell.
> >
> > 72, Rick kf4ar
>
Phil

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 09:45:57 -0400 (EDT)
From: KENNETH ROBERT MCGUIRE <at902@tcnet.org>
To: John Moriarity <k6qq@hdo.net>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71612] Re: cw
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.21.0006010943540.26646-100000@hendryx.tcnet.org>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Wed, 31 May 2000, John Moriarity wrote:

> You're 100% right. *Everyone* should read the article
> "Your Novice Accent" (URL posted earlier today).

I certainly will. I need to improve since I've learned by doing on the novice bands...

> Operating procedures are getting pretty loose these days.

Well, it would be nice if something like "Your Novice Accent" was in the ARRL operation manual... I looked there before trying CW and found very little help.

Ken McGuire
KC8LTL in EN74cp
at902@tcnet.org

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 07:12:12 -0700
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@earthlink.net>
To: dandoooley@pipeline.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71613] Re: cw
Message-ID: <39366F3C.51D81C3D@earthlink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

"Dan W. Dooley" wrote:

>
> The "N" in kn indicates back to the other station in the QSO ONLY.
> Methinks that this is correct.....
>

Yes.

Phil

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 07:23:28 -0700 (PDT)
From: Monte Stark <ku7y@dri.edu>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [71614] Re: K is dangerous in contests
Message-ID: <Pine.GS0.4.10.10006010717150.12703-100000@rotor.dri.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Thu, 1 Jun 2000, Walt Amos wrote:

>
> If inadequate spacing is used in the name of SPEED in contests the " K " can
> become part of your call and cause a BUSTED CALL contact.
>

Very true.

This is why, in contests, I try to never end with a "K"
but use "BK" instead.

One other thing to always remember about all these short
cuts is that you must "decode" them with an eye to the
context in which they were sent.

Following the context of the conversation will allow you
to miss whole words and still have "100%" copy of the
subject.

How many times have you been in a QSO with someone and they
were having trouble sending a word. Time and time again they
would try sending the word while all along you were saying
to yourself (or if you are like me, to the radio!) to just
move on to the next word because you already know what
he is trying to say! :-)

--
BK

73, Ron

.....KU7Y.....ARCI #8829.....Monte "Ron" Stark.....
....ku7y@qsl.net....SOC #2.....Nevada....NRA LIFE....
.....SOWP 5545M.....WHINERS #1.....ZOMBIE #18.....
....Visit my Home Page.....<http://www.qsl.net/ku7y/>....

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 09:53:41 -0500
From: John Moore <jwm@hal-pc.org>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu

Subject: [71615] Re: License upgrades
Message-ID: <3.0.6.32.20000601095341.007959c0@hal-pc.org>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

On Wed May 31 2000 - 21:31 EDT,
William R Colbert posted:

>
> Subject: Re: License upgrades
>
> Remember who signed as Secretary? Mary Jane Morris
> Later Ben F. Waple.
>

Being *carefull* not to date myself <g> I seem to
recall T. J. Slowie as the preceedsor to Mary
Jane Morris -- circa 1948 or thereabouts --

> ... was Curtis B. Plummer (on correspondence) but
> C.B. Plummer on the license as there was not a lot of
> room inside those little lines. Looked like a rubber
> stamp of his signature. 1956-57 era.

That's what my 1954 'original' Novice and Technician
Class licenses had --

>
72/73 de John Moore, KK5NU

eMail: jwm@hal-pc.org

www - <http://hal-pc.org/~jwm>

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 11:04:48 -0400
From: "Richard E. Robinson" <rerobins@email.uncc.edu>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71616] Re: The Bottom End of 10 Meters
Message-ID: <v03102809b55c2732d283@[152.15.144.71]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Regarding the influx of "freebanders" in the low end of 10 meters;

>Maybe Mr. Hollingsworth needs to know about

>such goings on !

Riley Hollingsworth said in an address in September 1999 at the Shelby, NC, hamfest, that illegal 10 meter operation, non-type accepted amplifiers, etc. are the Enforcement Division's top priority for 2000. At the Elkin, NC, hamfest in February, I was stunned to see how many 11 meter "kickers" were for sale by licensed hams of all people. Riley would've had a field day handing out citations and confiscating "kickers". There was as much of that junk there as ham gear.

Four hams here in NC were recently caught by the FCC operating ham rigs on CB and openly selling non-FCC certified equipment over the CB airwaves. They were sent warning letters by the FCC. See June 2000 QST p.81 for details and calls.

The FCC also recently aided Mexico in locating deliberate interference from US hams to Mexican 2M repeaters. Maybe that will encourage the Mexican government to aid the FCC in tracking down some of the illegal operation on the lower end of 10 meters. We can only hope.

72,

Rick kf4ar

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 08:26:05 -0700
From: Ed Loranger <we6w@qsl.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [71617] QRQ Net Practice Sentences.
Message-ID: <3936808D.9C00355A@qsl.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I'm recycling these sentences because they are so good.

QRQ Net meets Sunday's, 7039 KHz at 7 PM PACIFIC time, (Monday, 0200Z UTC).
Speeds:25 WPM and up to your limit.

- 1) A circular polarized pattern is fundamental to a quadrifilar Kilgus coil.

- 2) The resonant Wheeler coil produces narrowband circular waves.
- 3) A true frequency independent antenna is only characterized by angles.

CONCEPT OF NET: Separate the mechanical training for sending morse code from the thought process used to create sentences.

RESULTS: Regular Practicing members average at least 10 WPM improvement in 4 weeks.

We promote non-automatic sending with the straight key, paddles, and semiautomatic keys (Bugs).

Members are anyone who wants to enjoy the CW practice.

Members practice the sentences throughout the week, trying to increase character speed. Also, regular QSO's will help practice incorporating the brain along with the improved mechanical abilities.

The night of the net is aimed to test your progress and share the joy of the experience.

NET PROCEDURE: Call up for stations. List broadcast. Minor introductions and NCS sends first groups. Stations proceed in their list order and pass on to the next list member. When returning to NCS, NCS announces next sending speed. Stations drop out as they reach their limits. NCS acknowledges and excuses stations so members are aware of the change in the list.

DURATION: I make all attempts to keep the net to 1.5 hours maximum. This may involve reducing the number of sentences at the slower speeds, making greater jumps in speed at each round robin. Expect 5 WPM jumps above 40 WPM.

Hope to have you join in on the fun.

72/Ed we6w

--

72/Ed we6w; A-1 OP; SOC#63; QRPL#1068
<http://www.qsl.net/we6w> Santa Rosa, CA

My 2 pennies worth is just common cents.

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 11:30:13 -0400
From: Michael Bower <bowerm@ix.netcom.com>
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71618] need recommendation for Gen Cov Handheld Recvr
Message-ID: <39353005.653EFDFA@ix.netcom.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I'm considering getting a general cover (ham band mostly) hand held receiver. It should include all modes (USB, LSB, FM, AM, CW).

Any recommendations??

TIA

Michael N4NMR

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 11:53:42 -0400
From: Eric Moore <emoore@windemullerelectric.com>
To: "'qrp-1@lehigh.edu'" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [71619] OT: Vanity Calls
Message-ID: <E1BF4505A473D311AC9900C0F026715F06D953@WINDY_COM>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain

Does anyone know if there is a webpage that allows you to look up old holders of callsigns. I have a vanity callsign and would like to know about it's history. I think it would have been originally issued in the early 1950's. Might be a neat service to provide if it's not being done already.

73,
Eric
K8CCA

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 11:55:54 -0400
From: "Adams, Mark" <madams@facilities.buffalo.edu>

To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [71620] Re: K is dangerous in contests
Message-ID: <D2337327FF8AD311939600A0C9B4175E4A107F@facilities.buffalo.edu>

GANG,

This is the reason I changed my call! I was N2VPK. In major contests, the other ops simply ignored the K and thought that I was N2VP. This happed even though I use a computer and TR-Log. I am sure that there are Romanian contesting hit squads looking for me due to lost points because that would not get my call right. Most of them would even ignore my attempts at a correction! So now I am K2Q0 and don't have nearly as much trouble :-)
A lot of ops do not even use K or BK. They just wait for a short break after you give a fill-in, as that is quicker than waiting for BK on each end.
Mark Adams K2Q0

Mark S. Adams
Facilities/Construction Safety Manager
Occupational and Environmental Safety Services
Michael Hall, Rm. 301, 3435 main Street
Buffalo, NY 14214-3077
Tel: (716) 829-3301 Fax: (716) 829-2516 Pager (716) 774-2260

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 12:07:11 -0400
From: Paul Antoniewicz <pja@sofsol.com>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71621] RE: Thanks for cw advice
Message-ID: <213E5C009DFFD111AF93006008BFAB8E4F7105@ssi12>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain

Ditto and thank you all.

Paul kb9tnj

> -----Original Message-----
> From: John Nall [SMTP:nally@talstar.com]
> Sent: Thursday, June 01, 2000 8:10 AM
> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
> Subject: Thanks for cw advice
>
> Just a note to the different people who have either given good

> advice on how to use cw on the air, or given valuable pointers
> to websites, or both:
>
> For someone like myself, who really wants to not only learn cw
> and use it, but also wants to do it the "right way," these learning
> sources are very much appreciated. So thanks much.
>
> John WB4LOQ
>
> (No, I'm not a novice. But so far as cw is concerned, I might
> as well be, I suppose :-)

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 11:08:07 -0500
From: Lew Paceley <lew@paceley.com>
To: bowerm@ix.netcom.com, Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71622] Re: need recommendation for Gen Cov Handheld Recvr
Message-ID: <005c01bfcbe3\$9325b580\$0332a8c0@roland.swbell.net>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

The most extensive set of reviews that I've seen for handheld receivers/scanners is at N2MCA's site <http://www.strongsignals.net>. There are models that meet your requirements by Alinco (DJ-X10), Icom (R10), Yaesu (VR500), AOR (8200), and Yupiteru (MVT-9000). Note that all are "compromises" in the sense that they are wideband receivers that are not as sensitive as most desktop receivers, fairly easy to overload/desense, and generally more sensitive to intermod. The downside is offset by the incredible wide range coverage (generally AM broadcast to 1.2 GHz and higher) in a highly portable form factor. While the technology is getting better and better, we still don't quite have general coverage desktop receiver capability in a handheld unit. Enjoy!

72,
Lew
N5ZE (ex K5LEM)

----- Original Message -----

From: Michael Bower <bowerm@ix.netcom.com>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2000 10:30 AM
Subject: need recommendation for Gen Cov Handheld Recvr

> I'm considering getting a general cover (ham band mostly) hand held
> receiver. It should include all modes (USB, LSB, FM, AM, CW).

>
> Any recommendations??
>
> TIA
>
> Michael N4NMR
>
>

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 12:31:49 -0400
From: "Victor Blackwell" <victor@brechnet.com>
To: <emoore@windemullerelectric.com>, "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-
l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [71623] Re: Vanity Calls
Message-ID: <001401bfcbe6\$e3385660\$405730d1@victor>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I question the early fifties on your call. I was licensed W8VST in the
middle fifties. It seemed like I had my ticket for a few years when the "K"
calls started to appear in the eight call area.

Vic AD8K pronounced: 88K hi hi ex W8VST

-----Original Message-----

From: Eric Moore <emoore@windemullerelectric.com>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Date: Thursday, June 01, 2000 11:52 AM
Subject: OT: Vanity Calls

>Does anyone know if there is a webpage that allows you to look up old
>holders of callsigns. I have a vanity callsign and would like to know
>about it's history. I think it would have been originally issued in the
>early 1950's. Might be a neat service to provide if it's not being done
>already.
>
>73,
>Eric
>K8CCA

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 11:31:42 -0500
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@stic.net>
To: <emoore@windemullerelectric.com>, "'Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion'" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71624] RE: Vanity Calls
Message-ID: <000001bfcbe6\$df561320\$ef5d6f81@v8.uthscsa.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

When I was getting "proof" of my original license date I contacted the ARRL to ask what to do. Eileen Sapko sent me this information about getting my license date. This place might be able to give you a callsign license issue history also.

72/73
Kevin, WB5RUE

Dear Kevin,

The only way to find out when you were first licensed is to contact the International Transcription Service (ITS, Inc.). For a fee, they will research the information you request. They are located in the FCC, Room 246, 1919 M Street, N.W. and Gettysburg, PA at 1270 Fairfield Road. (717) 337-1433.

Their corporate address is:
2100 M Street, N.W. Suite 140,
Washington, DC 20037
(202) 857-3800.

With best 73,

Eileen Sapko
ARRL Awards Manager

> -----Original Message-----
> From: owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
> [mailto:owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU]On Behalf Of
> Eric Moore
> Sent: Thursday, June 01, 2000 10:54 AM
> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
> Subject: OT: Vanity Calls
>

>
> Does anyone know if there is a webpage that allows you to look up old
> holders of callsigns. I have a vanity callsign and would like to know
> about it's history. I think it would have been originally
> issued in the
> early 1950's. Might be a neat service to provide if it's not
> being done
> already.
>
> 73,
> Eric
> K8CCA
>

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 09:34:19 -0700
From: "Davies, Doug A FOR:EX" <Doug.Davies@gems3.gov.bc.ca>
To: "'qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU'" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71625] Part Source
Message-ID: <60F1FEB31CA3D211A1B60008C7A45F430997FF02@blaze.bcsc.GOV.BC.CA>
Content-return: allowed
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

I need a CA3160 op amp for a wattmeter project. I checked out Mouser and Digi-Key and both list the part as no longer available. Does anyone know of a source for this part or is there a substitute that I can use?

Doug VA7DD

p.s. Is this part of the "Great Parts Shortage" everyone's been talking about???

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 11:36:01 -0500
From: Rick Weber <weber@accenttech.com>
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [71626] Question
Message-ID: <393690EF.A960D73@accenttech.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; x-mac-type="54455854"; x-mac-creator="4D4F5353"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Steven,

Your SMT DSW sounds like a fascinating project for a watchmaker. You've got steady hands to do that kind of work. Somehow I got a KnightSmite together correctly, but it was a challenge under a magnifier.

The DSW-40 you repaired for me is doing fine. Made a lot of QSOs during ARCI Hoot Owl Sprint.

One question about this rig. Some strong stations blow my ears off, even with the gain backed down all the way. Would you suggest that I attenuate between the phone jack and my headset (no built in attenuator at this time) or should I do something inside the rig?

Thanks,

Rick Weber
W9QZ

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 09:38:02 -0700
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@earthlink.net>
To: emoore@windemullerelectric.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71627] Re: OT: Vanity Calls
Message-ID: <3936916A.234E6599@earthlink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Eric Moore wrote:

>
> Does anyone know if there is a webpage that allows you to look up old
> holders of callsigns. I have a vanity callsign and would like to know
> about it's history. I think it would have been originally issued in the
> early 1950's. Might be a neat service to provide if it's not being done
> already.
>

There is a service that will do it for a cost; but I do not now recall what they are called (and they may not still be around).

When I wanted to get back my original 1953 call (W7UOX) with Gate 1, I had no documentation proving I had held it. They researched it and provided documentation the FCC would accept.

I think the cost was in the \$25-35 range, but it's been a while.

Phil

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 09:56:58 -0700
From: "n6wg:First n6wg:Last" <n6wg@earthlink.net>
To: <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71628] Re: need recommendation for Gen Cov Handheld Recvr
Message-ID: <001901bfcbea\$6759fe00\$ddd0fc9e@oemcomputer>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Michael

Can you tell us a bit more about how you plan to use the general coverage receiver?

If you intend to use it with a transmitter as a two-piece station, that's one thing. If for SWL only, that's another. If maybe as piece of shack test equipment, that's another consideration.

Please let us know your intentions so we can give you a more targeted answer.

73, Bob N6WG

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 09:59:02 -0700
From: "n6wg:First n6wg:Last" <n6wg@earthlink.net>
To: <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71629] Re: OT: Vanity Calls
Message-ID: <001d01bfcbea\$b18173a0\$ddd0fc9e@oemcomputer>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Eric

I'm told the QCWA maintains a library of old call books, particularly for people who need to confirm how long they have been hams for QCWA member application.

Perhaps they can help you. QSWA does have a web site.

73, Bob N6WG

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 11:06:14 -0600
From: Bruce Kizerian <kizerian@ced.utah.edu>
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [71630] Another Part Bites the Dust...
Message-ID: <39369806.34527C7@ced.utah.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Be advised that the Mouser no longer stocks the poly film variable capacitor part no. 24TR222. Electronic Goldmine still has some made by a different manufacturer, but will be discontinuing them when their stock is gone. If you need any of this part for receivers, antenna tuners, etc., you may want to stock up. I recently purchased 2500 ea. for present and future Elmeradio projects.

What next?

Bruce kk7zz

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 11:06:21 -0500
From: Mike <mmorrow@companet.net>
To: hamjoel@juno.com
Cc: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71631] Re: incentive licencing...
Message-ID: <393689FD.2B13@companet.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

hamjoel@juno.com wrote:

> the 3rd telegraph... (that's 25wpm send
> receive one minute solid with the fcc examiner giving the test...)

The 2nd and 3rd class commercial telegraph licenses had identical code tests: ** 20 ** wpm plain language send/receive, and the far more difficult 16 wpm random character/number code group send/receive test.

The only difference between the 2nd and 3rd class was the technical element 6 that the 2nd class license required.

The written exam was the same for the 1st and 2nd class commercial telegraph licenses. The 1st class license required a service endorsement and 25 wpm pl/20 wpm cg code tests.

At NO point in history has any amateur CW exam been as rigorous as those required for even the lowest class of commercial telegraph license. (I'm ignoring the recent simplification that allows, very questionably, credit for the commercial license CW tests if one holds an Amateur Extra license). One could not reasonably be faulted for pride in having earned a commercial radiotelegraph license of any class.

73,

Mike / KK5F

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 13:14:50 -0400
From: "Brian" <brian@iquest.net>
To: <kizerian@ced.utah.edu>, "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71632] Re: Another Part Bites the Dust...
Message-ID: <001f01bfcbece536afb0\$3d05080a@cincom.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I called Web Tronics and they're out with no anticipated due date.

FYI

----- Original Message -----

From: Bruce Kizerian <kizerian@ced.utah.edu>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Thursday, June 01, 2000 1:06 PM
Subject: Another Part Bites the Dust...

> Be advised that the Mouser no longer stocks the poly film
> variable capacitor part no. 24TR222.
> Electronic Goldmine still has some made by a different
> manufacturer, but will be discontuning them when their stock
> is gone. If you need any of this part for receivers, antenna
> tuners, etc., you may want to stock up. I recently purchased

> 2500 ea. for present and future ElmeRadio projects.
>
> What next?
>
> Bruce kk7zz
>
>

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 13:25:46 EDT
From: GElam30092@aol.com
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71633] "Life is too short for QRP"
Message-ID: <38.6b0b134.2667f69a@aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

After reading the subject of this e-mail, your reaction will probably be the same as when I noted this new feature article on QRZ.COM.

In fact, I was already formulating a response before I started reading it.

Then, I realized it was a cleverly set trap by Bob Myers, W1XT and is a great article.

Go to http://www.qrz.com/features/w1xt/short_qrp.html and take a look for yourself!

Cheers,
Gerry Elam, K7LRO
PHX AZ

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 11:06:38 -0600
From: zmola@campbellsci.com
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>, madams@facilities.buffalo.edu
Subject: [71634] Re: K is dangerous in contests
Message-ID: <200006011135.LAA31016@demeter.campbellsci.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

"Adams, Mark" <madams@facilities.buffalo.edu> wrote:

> GANG,
> This is the reason I changed my call! I was N2VPK. In major contests,
the
> other ops simply ignored the K and thought that I was N2VP.

I changed my call when upgrading for the same reason.
KK7QD ended up being K7qdk or K7QD. Contesting wasn't the
issue, I was just tired of correcting people.

Carl
AC7BB

Carl
zmola@campbellsci.com

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 12:43:45 -0500
From: "George T. Baker" <w5yr@att.net>
To: "Dan W. Dooley" <dandooley@pipeline.com>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71635] Re: License upgrades
Message-ID: <3936A0D1.731F4E7@att.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Dan, I don't know if it is/was the same guy or not.

My Class B ticket in 1946 was signed by James B. Beadle who had a
flourished signature that evidently was done by fountain pen on each
license separately. Same for my Class A in 1947 - in those days you had
to be on the air for a year before you could upgrade.

72/73, George
Fairview, TX 30 mi NE Dallas in Collin county
Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 54th year and it just keeps getting better!
R/C since 1964 - AMA 98452 RVing since 1972 Kachina #91900556

"Dan W. Dooley" wrote:

>
> I personally knew a "Jim" Beadle (don't recall if that's the spelling) when
> I lived in 9 land. Back in the late '70s. We belonged to the same radio
> club so I ran into him at all the meetings. His call was K or W or
> something 9NIN - I think. He was a DJ on a C&W station in Chicago. Think I

> heard him on some small station in rural OK a few years ago.
>
> Warm or cold?

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 12:57:10 -0500
From: "George T. Baker" <w5yr@att.net>
To: bowerm@ix.netcom.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71636] Re: need recommendation for Gen Cov Handheld Recvr
Message-ID: <3936A3F6.8D626527@att.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Michael, I have the Icom R-10 "Communications Receiver." It covers from about 100 KHz to 1300 MHz - all modes, including wide FM for FM and TV sound.

It is a tremendously hot little radio. A wire on the floor brings in European DX on 40 and 20. The front end is very tender and IF selectivity is very poor. It operates almost like a direct-conversion radio as a result - signals come in on both sides of zero beat. Fits easily into a shirt pocket, so it is indeed "little."

But, for a wide frequency coverage and above-average sensitivity, it would be hard to beat. I find it very useful for general band monitoring, etc. I think that using it for actual communications would be very frustrating, although most of us started out with radios having poorer specs and thought nothing of it! ;^)

72/73, George
Fairview, TX 30 mi NE Dallas in Collin county
Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 54th year and it just keeps getting better!
R/C since 1964 - AMA 98452 RVing since 1972 Kachina #91900556

Michael Bower wrote:

>
> I'm considering getting a general cover (ham band mostly) hand held
> receiver. It should include all modes (USB, LSB, FM, AM, CW).
>
> Any recommendations??
>
> TIA
>
> Michael N4NMR

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 14:06:42 -0400
From: David Hinerman <dlh1009@ritvax.isc.rit.edu>
To: qrp-l <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71637] Re: K is dangerous in contests
Message-ID: <000801bfcbf4\$26d00910\$2d0a05cc@rochester.com>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

> This is the reason I changed my call! I was N2VPK. In major contests, the
> other ops simply ignored the K and thought that I was N2VP. This happened
even
> thought I use a computer and TR-Log. I am sure that there are Romanian
> contesting hit squads looking for me due to lost points because that would
> not get my call right. Most of them would even ignore my attempts at a
> correction! So now I am K2Q0 and don't have nearly as much trouble :-)
> A lot of ops do not even use K or BK. They just wait for a short break
after
> you give a fill-in, as that is quicker than waiting for BK on each end.

Mark,

A friend of mine was quite the CW fan, although whenever he'd call someone
they insisted on coming back to WB8CIK. (His actual call was WB8CEE.)

Dave

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 13:30:15 -0500
From: "Kreinberg, David" <dkreinberg@necam.com>
To: "'QRP-L'" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71638] Alpha-Delta DX-B ??
Message-ID: <A500CE54D312D311929900105A0D737901404F7A@cngmail1.esd.dl.nec.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Gang,

Greetings to all. I am finally on-line again after a few
months of being away.

A question for you: Has anyone had any experience with the Alpha-Delta DX-B Sloper antenna? It is a 60' wire with an inductor in-line and uses a tower or downlead as the other part of the antenna. It covers 160-80-40 and 30 meters. 30 is done by a 23' stub. This all requires a tuner, as well.

I realize this is a compromise antenna for the low bands (except maybe 30), but if anybody can give me the low down on this (or anything by Alpha-Delta, in general) I'd be grateful. I would like a low band antenna to get me in night-time contesting/Field Day, etc.

TIA and 73,
de Dave NR3E
QRP-L #25
nr Dallas, TX

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 12:37:49 -0600
From: Ray Colbert <af852@rgfn.epcc.edu>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71639] Re: License upgrades
Message-ID: <3936AD7D.BACBD306@rgfn.epcc.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Well, I did not get to the Tech route during that time period, living in the S. Georgia swamps at that time, there was no vhf activity, before the time of repeaters and as I recall, the novice/tech portion of 2 was 145-147 and the few repeaters starting up in the early 60's often circumvented those freqs for in or out causing a lot of bad feelings since the techs could not actively participate. The 2 meter workings were mostly AM and simplex with either a small yagi or the "new" J-pole which seemed to be an ideal mobile antenna. I find it nice to be able to remember some of these things, long forgotten.
Thanks fellows.

73
Ray
--

"The more I see of the representatives of the people, the more I admire my dogs." letter from Count d'Orsay to John Foster 1850
Ray Colbert, W5XE, 00TC#3618, SOWP#1064M NARTE-NCT2 SOC#78
MI-QRP 379QRP-ARCI 5784 NORCAL 1110, El Paso, (FAR WEST) TEXAS

NetZero - Defenders of the Free World
Click here for FREE Internet Access and Email
<http://www.netzero.net/download/index.html>

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 14:46:23 -0500
From: "Randy Randall" <RANDALLR@Healthall.com>
To: <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>, <dkreinberg@necam.com>
Subject: [71640] Re: Alpha-Delta DX-B ??
Message-ID: <s936775b.040@healthall.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Content-Disposition: inline

>From what I have been told they work well. No first hand experience =
though. I was looking at one myself a while back.
Randy KB8AS0

>>> "Kreinberg, David" <dkreinberg@necam.com> 06/01 1:30 PM >>>

Gang,=20

Greetings to all. I am finally on-line again after a few
months of being away.

A question for you: Has anyone had any experience with
the Alpha-Delta DX-B Sloper antenna? It is a 60' wire with
an inductor in-line and uses a tower or downlead as the other
part of the antenna. It covers 160-80-40 and 30 meters. 30 is
done by a 23' stub. This all requires a tuner, as well.

I realize this is a compromise antenna for the low bands
(except maybe 30), but if anybody can give me the low down
on this (or anything by Alpha-Delta, in general) I'd be=20
grateful. I would like a low band antenna to get me in night-
time contesting/Field Day, etc.

TIA and 73,
de Dave NR3E
QRP-L #25
nr Dallas, TX

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 14:48:26 -0500
From: "Randy Randall" <RANDALLR@Healthall.com>
To: <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>, <dkreinberg@necam.com>
Subject: [71641] Re: Alpha-Delta DX-B ??
Message-ID: <s93677d7.052@healthall.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Content-Disposition: inline

You may want to post this question on:

rec.radio.amateur.antenna
73
Randy

>>> "Kreinberg, David" <dkreinberg@necam.com> 06/01 1:30 PM >>>

Gang,=20

Greetings to all. I am finally on-line again after a few months of being away.

A question for you: Has anyone had any experience with the Alpha-Delta DX-B Sloper antenna? It is a 60' wire with an inductor in-line and uses a tower or downlead as the other part of the antenna. It covers 160-80-40 and 30 meters. 30 is done by a 23' stub. This all requires a tuner, as well.

I realize this is a compromise antenna for the low bands (except maybe 30), but if anybody can give me the low down on this (or anything by Alpha-Delta, in general) I'd be=20 grateful. I would like a low band antenna to get me in night-time contesting/Field Day, etc.

TIA and 73,
de Dave NR3E
QRP-L #25
nr Dallas, TX

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 14:51:26 -0400
From: Michael Ostrowski <mostrowski@CreativeSolutions.com>
To: emoore@windemullerelectric.com, Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [71642] RE: Vanity Calls

Message-ID: <C17F1AF032ECD21180C100A024BB8E2E02293E04@bruiser.creativesol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Eric -

The FCC began issuing 'K' prefix calls in 1953. Don't know the exact details for the 8th district, but they began handing out "WA" calls in '58 because of a shortage of 'W' and 'K' calls. So I'd bet your call was first issued sometime after '55.

Bill Continelli, W2X0Y, writes an excellent column on ham radio history called "The Wayback Machine" at ARRL Hudson Division webpage. He included some history on callsigns in his seventh installment
<http://hudson-loop.org/waybak7.html>

I have callbooks for '47 '57 '59 '62 '71 '77 '79 '86 and '93. If anyone on the list needs a call lookup let me know. (Also, I'm always interested in rounding out my collection if the price is right)

72,
Mike

Michael Ostrowski - KI8IK
Saline, MI

KI8IK@arrl.net

ARCI QRP #10255 MI QRP #1693
QRP-L #2170 NorCal
FISTS #3311

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 14:19:17 +0000
From: "Steven Weber" <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71643] HB: New Deluxe keyer with LCD
Message-ID: <200006011857.0AA07473@wolf.ncia.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Hi Gang,

Probably one of the last things anyone on this list needs is another

keyer. However, as this one has some interesting and unique features, there might be a few of you who would like to build it.

The keyer has four input sources.

1. Straight key.

Code sent is decoded and displayed on LCD. Character and word space timing is fixed to code speed set on keyer, but is fairly liberal in its determination of dot and dashes around that speed. Really helps to learn proper letter and word spacing.

2. Paddle. Iambic, A or B mode selectable.

Again, decodes and displays on LCD

3. DIrect AT keyboard input.

80 character type ahead buffer, with back space to correct errors. What you type is shown on the LCD, as you type.

4. RS-232 input and echo back. Full control of the keyer using ascii characters. Use with PC and terminal emulator program or custom logging program. (not supplied)

Code speed 10 -30 wpm, 1 wpm steps, 30-40 wpm, 2 wpm steps Current code speed displayed on LCD

Four, 80 character message memories. Messages can be made to auto repeat (beacon) or call another message. Message can be paused or stoped using paddle. Messages stored in serial eeprom. Enter messages with any of the four inputs.

1-9999 serial number generator.

I'm thinking of adding random letter/number group, word/phrase generator for practice copy. Any interest in this kind of feature?

Please contact me direct if your interested in building this keyer. For now, I plan on supplying just a chip set of the programmed cpu (89C51), MAX-232 RS-232 interface chip, 93C66 eeprom and a 11.059 Mhz xtal, for \$15.00. Will come with full instructions and pcb layout. If you'd like the progam source code, send a 3 1/2" floppy too. Unless I'm supriised by the amount of interest, no plans on having a board made at this time. Easy enough to wire it up point to point on perfboard. You will need to supply a 2 x16 LCD module and other odds and ends. 2 x16 LCD modules are pretty common and most surplus places have them at reasonable prices.

Thanks,

72,
Steve, KD1JV in the white Mountains of New Hampshire
"melt solder"

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 15:06:15 +0000
From: Michael Neverdosky <mneverdosky@earthlink.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71644] Yaesu 209RH manual available (copy)
Message-ID: <39366DD7.EB7232AD@earthlink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I made some extra copies of the 209RH manual.
If anyone needs one send me \$3 cash and I will
send you one.

michael N6CHV

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 15:34:23 -0400 (EDT)
From: Bob Patten <n4bp@bc.seflin.org>
To: QRP-L Reflector <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [71645] TO: Mac Steinmeyer, AF4PS
Message-ID: <Pine.3.89.10006011519.B17693-0100000@bc.seflin.org>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Sorry to post to the reflector, but don't have Mac's e-mail address..

Mac,

Received your QRP-L CD request in today's mail and just dropped
the CD off at the P.O. You should receive it in 2-3 days. If your
e-mail address was in the envelope, I didn't see it. :-)

73,

Bob Patten, N4BP

(0 0)

Plantation, FL

-----o00o-()-o00-----

E-Mail: n4bp@bc.seflin.org
Web Page: <http://www.qsl.net/n4bp>
Brass Pounder BBS: (954) 472-7715
SOC #1Whiners #6

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 14:49:32 -0500
From: Gary Lee Phillips KA9NZI <ka9nzi@arrl.net>
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [71646] Re: Vanity Calls
Message-ID: <3936BE4C.FC8357B8@arrl.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

>I question the early fifties on your call. I was licensed W8VST in the

>middle fifties. It seemed like I had my ticket for a few years when
the "K"
>calls started to appear in the eight call area.

I'm not sure the FCC was giving out call signs sequentially in those
days.

I'm pretty sure that 1x3 calls beginning with K started as early as 1952

or 53. My uncle was K8HFM by the time I was six or seven years old
(mid-1950s). I don't know how they were assigning calls, I admit that,
but those K calls were around by then. "Kilowatt eight high frequency
modulation" was the phonetic he used on 40 m. AM in those days.

-- Gary Phillips, Marengo, IL <mailto:ka9nzi@arrl.net>
KA9NZI, Seneca Twp., McHenry Co., IL Grid: EN52rg
QRP-L #2124 <http://www.qsl.net/ka9nzi/>

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 15:04:46 -0500
From: Stuart Rohre <rohre@arlut.utexas.edu>
To: jerry <jwww@airmail.net>, "qrp-l@lehigh.edu" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [71647] Re: [Elecraft] Hamcom 2000
Message-ID: <3936C1DD.A1AC92EF@arlut.utexas.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Yes,
There will be a K2 presentation at the QRP session,
also. 10 AM. or right after mine on using the Ten Tec kit counterpoise tuner

also as an Antenna Trans Match.

72,
Stuart K5KVH

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 16:14:01 -0400
From: Michael Bower <bowerm@ix.netcom.com>
To: unlisted-recipients;; (no To-header on input)
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71648] Re: need recommendation for Gen Cov Handheld Recvr
Message-ID: <3936C409.1E415194@ix.netcom.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

My intentions (for the rcvr<g>):

Test Equipment
Receiver to take on the road when I don't want to take a station
Some SWL but not much

NOT as a receiver for a full two-piece station

Michael N4NMR

n6wg:First n6wg>Last wrote:

> Michael
> Can you tell us a bit more about how you plan to use the general coverage
> receiver?
> If you intend to use it with a transmitter as a two-piece station, that's
> one thing. If for SWL only, that's another. If maybe as piece of shack
> test equipment, that's another consideration.
> Please let us know your intentions so we can give you a more targeted
> answer.
> 73, Bob N6WG

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 16:49:56 -0400
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
To: victor@brecnet.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [71649] Re: Vanity Calls
Message-ID: <3936CC74.461C@erols.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Vic,

I was licensed as K8BAL in April of 1956. About the last of the W8 calls I knew of was W8ANF and W8IJT. Both were licensed in 1955 and very early 1956.

73

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 21:50:05 +0100
From: "George Dobbs" <g3rjv@gqrp.demon.co.uk>
To: <GQRP@onelist.com>
Cc: <QRP-L@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [71650] Frank Lee G3YCC
Message-ID: <000201bfcc0b\$37276b20\$151d989e@kcubkvql>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Thank you for all the kind emails in appreciation of Frank.
I had over 40 yesterday.

I have printed all the messages and will pass them
to his wife and family.

Could you please accept this shared reply
as a personal "thank you" if you sent a message about Frank.

Some asked if thy might write or send a card to his family.
the address is:
Mrs Barbara Lee
8 Westland Road
Kirkella
HULL
HU10 7PJ
UK

With Thanks
George

George Dobbs G3RJV
g3rjv@gqrp.com

"It is vain to do with more
what can be done with less"

The G QRP Club
[www.gqrp.com]

William of Occum. 1290-1350

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 16:56:38 -0400
From: "Victor Blackwell" <victor@brechnet.com>
To: <w6toy@erols.com>
Cc: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [71651] Re: Vanity Calls
Message-ID: <002901bfcc0b\$e418cb80\$585730d1@victor>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

W8AYB and I (W8VST) took our novice exams at the same time, place. Wilbur Wright administered the exams. So the commission jumped around a little bit. AYB's call was a reissue. I could never find an earlier holder for mine. Interesting.

Vic AD8K pronounced: 88K

-----Original Message-----

From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
To: victor@brechnet.com <victor@brechnet.com>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Date: Thursday, June 01, 2000 4:46 PM
Subject: Re: Vanity Calls

>Vic,
>
>I was licensed as K8BAL in April of 1956. About the last of the W8
>calls I knew of was W8ANF and W8IJT. Both were licensed in 1955 and
>very early 1956.
>
>73

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 13:55:57 -0700
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71652] Re: Vanity Calls
Message-ID: <20000601135557.A20459@oz.net>

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

I recall that K calls were issued in the 2nd and 6th call areas in 1952, but the other districts came later (the 2nd call area was the most numerous at the time). The FCC was issuing calls sequentially, but went through the alphabet more than once (the call area boundaries changed after WWII and there were many unissued calls resulting from the shifts and the creation of the W0 district). I received my original WN6 call in July, 1952 while a friend got a KN6 call in December. The FCC went back through the "W" calls at least once later on, as well.

Bob, N7XY

On Thu, Jun 01, 2000 at 02:49:32PM -0500, Gary Lee Phillips KA9NZI wrote:
> >I question the early fifties on your call. I was licensed W8VST in the
>
> >middle fifties. It seemed like I had my ticket for a few years when
> the "K"
> >calls started to appear in the eight call area.
>
> I'm not sure the FCC was giving out call signs sequentially in those
> days.
> I'm pretty sure that 1x3 calls beginning with K started as early as 1952
>
> or 53. My uncle was K8HFM by the time I was six or seven years old
> (mid-1950s). I don't know how they were assigning calls, I admit that,
> but those K calls were around by then. "Kilowatt eight high frequency
> modulation" was the phonetic he used on 40 m. AM in those days.
>
> -- Gary Phillips, Marengo, IL <mailto:ka9nzi@arrl.net>
> KA9NZI, Seneca Twp., McHenry Co., IL Grid: EN52rg
> QRP-L #2124 <http://www.qsl.net/ka9nzi/>
>

--

Bob Nielsen, N7XY nielsen@oz.net
Bainbridge Island, WA <http://www.oz.net/~nielsen>

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 16:47:44 -0400
From: hattonte@gdls.com
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [71653] Re: THRU hole Parts (Diminishing Sources)
Message-ID: <0F39FC0D4B.6DA502AA-0N852568F1.0071A733@gdls.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Richard wrote:

"Most folks don't believe it anymore, but I was born in a house that had no electrical power nor running water. Now I have all digital ham gear, cable internet and big screen satellite TV and know, we ain't seen nothing yet."

Well, you were LUCKY. We used to DREAM of living in a house- all we could afford was an old cardboard box up at the town dump. All 19 of us. And when I try to tell that to today's young hams, they don't believe me!

(sorry Richard, couldn't resist it...)

Terry W1QF

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 17:03:05 -0400
From: "Franco, Nicholas J" <franco@bnl.gov>
To: "'qrp-l@lehigh.edu'" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Cc: "Tom Ziko - WA1VAI (E-mail)" <accuspec@velocity.net>
Subject: [71654] Pixie2 on 10m ???
Message-ID: <698DB793D712D31180B600902746422DFB4799@exchange01.bnl.gov>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="windows-1252"

Gang,

I seem to remember a person posting the component values to put a Pixie2 on any band. Could someone be so kind as to forward me the specs for 10m or a re-post of that message. I will send it off to the person who asked me and is not yet on the qrp-l list.

Thanks es 72,

Nick - kf2p . .

--
Nicholas J. Franco <>< BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY
Systems Administrator Collider-Accelerator Department
Tel: (516) 344-5467 UPTON, NY 11973-5000
Fax: (516) 344-2833 Ham Call: KF2P
<mailto:nickf@bnl.gov> <<http://www.rhichome.bnl.gov/People/franco>>

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 14:06:52 -0700
From: "Davies, Doug A FOR:EX" <Doug.Davies@gems3.gov.bc.ca>
To: "'qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU'" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71655] RE: Pixie2 on 10m ???
Message-ID: <60F1FEB31CA3D211A1B60008C7A45F430997FF08@blaze.bcsc.GOV.BC.CA>
Content-return: allowed
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

I'd like them too if someone is going to post them.

Doug VA7DD

-----Original Message-----
From: Franco, Nicholas J [mailto:franco@bnl.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 01, 2000 2:03 PM
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
Subject: Pixie2 on 10m ???

Gang,

I seem to remember a person posting the component values to put a Pixie2 on any band. Could someone be so kind as to forward me the specs for 10m or a re-post of that message. I will send it off to the person who asked me and is not yet on the qrp-l list.

Thanks es 72,

Nick - kf2p . . .

--
Nicholas J. Franco <>< BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY
Systems Administrator Collider-Accelerator Department
Tel: (516) 344-5467 UPTON, NY 11973-5000
Fax: (516) 344-2833 Ham Call: KF2P
<mailto:nickf@bnl.gov> <<http://www.rhichome.bnl.gov/People/franco>>

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 16:30:27 -0600
From: "J. Medley" <jmedley@ix.netcom.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71656] QRPTTF Deadline Today!

Message-ID: <3936E403.3554D654@ix.netcom.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Today is the deadline for submitting your QRP To The Field 2000 entries. Snail mail must be postmarked by today, email must be sent ASAP. Following is a list of logs received. Note that check logs have a "log only" or "sum only" listing. These are incomplete entries and are listed under what information was received. Don't forget that MM and OC stations need to send a photo.

72,

Jan N0QT

N1IRZ	W5TB	AA9NF
W1VT	WA5BDU	AE9K
K2HPV	AC6AN	KG9PQ
K2QO	AD6GI	N9AW
KF2EW	K6UIZ	N9MZP
KD3FG	VE6QRP	NK9G
N3FZX	AK7Y	W9XU
VE3SMA	AL7FS	WA9PWP
WB3GCK	K7FD	WA9YDJ
AF4PP	K7RE	WT9S
KF4AR	K7TQ	AL0HA
N4JS	N7KE	JR0BAQ
W4GGM	N7KT	KI0KY
AA5B	N7TX	N0IBT
AB5XQ	NK7M	N0QT
AF5Z	NQ7X	N0UR
K5AAR	W7TAO	W0CH
K5HQV	WA7LNW	W0CQC
K5KW	WD7Y	W0UFO
VE5QRP	AB8DF	W0YSE
W5KID	N8XI	
W5TB	NS80	

Log only: AE5X JR1NKN KE9GM N5SAN
N6WG W3MWY WA6NAE

Sum only: AE6N N0GA N4QRP

FX-Mozilla-Status: 0009:39 2000

X-Mozilla-Status: 0801

X-Mozilla-Status2: 00000000

BCC: jmedley@ix.netcom.com

Message-ID: <3936DD7F.7ED36548@ix.netcom.com>

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 16:02:39 -0600
From: "J. Medley" <jmedley@ix.netcom.com>
X-Mozilla-Draft-Info: internal/draft; vcard=0; receipt=0; uuencode=0; html=0; linewidth=0
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Erica Newby <Erica.Newby@state.co.us>
Subject: Long - but asking a favor
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Erica,

How are things going? I have a favor to ask. I've spoken to Herb most recently to make arrangements to come up and get the rest of my things after I locate a place to store them. You just can't believe the things he tells me. He says that the 12 charges have been reduced to only one charge and that he'll probably get only a couple of years in a half-way house on a work release program. That makes me absolutely angry! Those kids were molested for 10 years and will be affected for the rest of their lives, and he only gets 2 easy years? He was saying that he's getting counselling and that all this is due to his being molested, his flashbacks from Viet Nam and all sorts of other stuff. Well, I have a lot to say about that crap. His counselling won't work unless he tells the truth. For starters, he was never in Viet Nam! I'm the one who got his VA pushed through. I literally stole his

records and xeroxed them then returned them unnoticed. Then I got ahold of Congressman Jim Johnson. I also got my hands on the rule book for VA ratings

procedure (which Washington didn't even have a copy of) and xeroxed that for Jim Johnson too. So I know what is in his records. He never was outside the US and was stationed at NAS Memphis as an electronics instructor. This crap about a secret mission is just that, crap! He's spent too much time in front of the TV and read too many of those Mack Bolan Executioner books I guess. For seconds, I finally got the nerve up to ask Uncle Harold if he'd molested Herb (remember that I told you that I didn't believe it in the first place?) and below is his answer. He's also never played with Elvis Presley like he says! Oh, he knows some famous people....by name only and casual meeting at a club or function. I was in the band for 15 year! I've met these people too. But Herb makes things up to make himself be #1. He can't stand it if he's not the center of attention or in the limelite somehow. Our band broke up and reformed several times because of his gigantic ego problems. The guys used to call him "Mother Duck" (not a joke) because of the way he pushed everybody around.

But this is typical Herb. Lies and bullshit. That's why he turned himself in. When Richard told him on the phone that "they" were picking up the kids

and hung up on him, then Herb's back was against the wall. If he wanted to win this, then he had to act fast. Herb thinks that if he tells the story first, then it must be the right one. He'll make himself out to be a tremendous and believable victim and place the blame anywhere but on himself. He's never at fault. If fast talking doesn't work, then he'll use anger and intimidation. And when those things don't work, then he creates a spectacle. I've watched this guy have seizures and "migraine" headaches on demand for nothing more than the fact that he didn't want to go to work that day. But if there was a gig to play that night, then he was miraculously fine! Able to lift 200+ lb A7 cabinets and load all his music gear without batting an eye.

So the favor I want to ask of you, is that you print this out and give it to whoever is responsible for prosecution. I can't just sit back and watch an abortion of justice like this. If the prosecutor wants to email me, then I'll give him the benefit of my 23 years' of living with his lies and abuse. Am I bitter? You bet I am! This guy has devastated my world not to mention everyone else around him, he has ripped apart my dreams of having a nice home, a family, and being a mother. And I'm too damned old to accomplish those things now. What do I tell people when they ask if I have kids? What do I do with my Mother's ring? Moreover, how will my kids live with this? Can they ever overcome it? I worry that the rest of their lives will be spent drifting from one disaster of a relationship to the next only because that's how they think love is supposed to be. I haven't set a very good example for them either because I didn't stand up for myself. I sat back and kept my mouth shut for fear of more abuse. I'd tried telling even my parents about things and they didn't believe me because Herb is such an accomplished liar. So I just took it and kept quiet. I hope my kids can change that, but that's something that they will have to do for themselves. I hope they surround themselves with good people who won't drag them down to their level. I hope they can find friends who will praise, encourage, and love them for who they are and not what they can give up for them. I pray for a lot of things, but I don't know if they will happen.

Thanks,
Jan

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Re: I need your help

Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 17:15:47 -0500

From: "Harold Koughn" <revharold@iowaone.net>

Reply-To: "Harold Koughn" <revharold@iowaone.net>

To: "J. Medley" <jmedley@ix.netcom.com>

References: <3934A0D2.482C8A54@ix.netcom.com>

Jan!

Needless to say I was certainly shocked by your letter. In answer to your

question, No! No! No! I never would have thought of doing anything like that.

Herb certainly never talked to me about anything at Joy's funeral other than

when the whole family was around. I am so sorry to hear about the children and be assured that I will be praying for you and all concerned.

Especially

that Herb will get the help he needs.

----- Original Message -----

From: J. Medley <jmedley@ix.netcom.com>

To: <revharold@iowaone.net>

Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2000 12:19 AM

Subject: I need your help

> Dear Uncle Harold,

>

> We met at Joy's funeral, I'm Herb's wife Jan. Something very terrible
> happened on March 13th, but I've just now found out about it. Herb was
> arrested for molesting the kids for the past 10 years. They are now back
in

> the custody of their tribe.

>

> I have a very hard question to ask you, but frankly I need to know for my
> own peace of mind. I have lived through 23 years of nothing but lies from
> Herb, thus I'm not inclined to believe anything he has to say at this
> point. He had no intention of notifying me of this horrible thing.

>

> Herb claims he did this because you molested him when he was around 11
> years old. Is that true? He claims that he confronted you at mom's funeral
> and you and he had worked it out. But I can't think of any time that you
> would have had that opportunity or time to discuss such a thing.

>

> I'm sorry if this shocks you. But I just can't get my mind around this. It
> crosses the line of human decency so badly that I can't even think of it.

I

> moved out last June due to his abusiveness. It's no surprise that he's
> trying to blame this on me too. That seems to be Herb's first line of
> attack, to put himself up to be the poor victim and it's everyone else's
> fault. He has never taken responsibility for his own choices, just the
> opposite. He has been willing to lie, cheat, steal, and break the law to be

> the one in control, the top dog. If fast talking doesn't work, then
> intimidation did.

>

> I don't mean to pour this out on you. I have many issues that I'm trying

to
> deal with and have been in counseling since I got here to New Mexico. I
> have some very good friends here who are trying their darndest to support
> me emotionally. But they can't comprehend it either. One of my friends
says
> this whole thing makes the holocaust look like a Sunday outing. Anyway,
I
> just wanted to ask you myself because frankly I don't believe it.
>
> IHS,
> Jan Medley

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 15:30:43 -0600
From: "J. Medley" <jmedley@ix.netcom.com>
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71657] QRPTTF Deadline Today!
Message-ID: <3936D603.823121A3@ix.netcom.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Today is the deadline for submitting your QRP To The Field 2000 entries.
Snail mail must be postmarked by today, email must be sent ASAP. Following
is a list of logs received. Note that check logs have a "log only" or
"sum only" listing. These are incomplete entries and are listed under
what information was received. Don't forget that MM and OC stations need
to send a photo.

72,
Jan N0QT

N1IRZ	W5TB	AA9NF
W1VT	WA5BDU	AE9K
K2HPV	AC6AN	KG9PQ
K2QO	AD6GI	N9AW
KF2EW	K6UIZ	N9MZP
KD3FG	VE6QRP	NK9G
N3FZX	AK7Y	W9XU
VE3SMA	AL7FS	WA9PWP
WB3GCK	K7FD	WA9YDJ
AF4PP	K7RE	WT9S
KF4AR	K7TQ	AL0HA
N4JS	N7KE	JR0BAQ
W4GGM	N7KT	KI0KY
AA5B	N7TX	N0IBT
AB5XQ	NK7M	N0QT

AF5Z NQ7X N0UR
K5AAR W7TAO W0CH
K5HQV WA7LNW W0CQC
K5KW WD7Y W0UFO
VE5QRP AB8DF W0YSE
W5KID N8XI
W5TB NS80

Log only: AE5X JR1NKN KE9GM N5SAN
 N6WG W3MWY WA6NAE

Sum only: AE6N N0GA N4QRP

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 16:45:06 -0600
From: "J. Medley" <jmedley@ix.netcom.com>
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [71658] Oh My Goodness!
Message-ID: <3936E772.1EF7DB58@ix.netcom.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Folks, my deepest apologies. I don't know what the hell happened and maybe somebody can help me out so it doesn't happen again. I'd written 4 messages offline, then logged on to the internet and sent them out. Somehow 2 of the messages got glommed together and sent to the list. My problems are my own and I apologize to everyone for this mishap. So please hit the delete key, but do pay attention to the log info for the deadline :)

Red-faced,
Jan N0QT

Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 16:02:13 -0700
From: "John Moriarity" <k6qq@hdo.net>
To: <ku7y@dri.edu>, "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [71659] Re: K is dangerous in contests
Message-ID: <006301bfcc1d\$6d7ba1c0\$fb424cd1@k6qq>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

----- Original Message -----

From: Monte Stark <ku7y@dri.edu>

> On Thu, 1 Jun 2000, Walt Amos wrote:

>

> >

> > If inadequate spacing is used in the name of SPEED in contests the "K " can

> > become part of your call and cause a BUSTED CALL contact.

> >

>

> Very true.

>

> This is why, in contests, I try to never end with a "K"

> but use "BK" instead.

>

But as Walt said, *spacing* is the key.

I always allow as much extra space as the B
in BK would have taken. So far, nobody has
called me K6QQK ;-)

72,

John, K6QQ

End of QRP-L Digest 1839
